## **RESEARCH BRIEF**



# Adaptation of mailed FIT kit and patient navigation intervention for CRC screening among Hispanics/Latinos

#### **Background**

- → Colorectal cancer (CRC) remains a leading cause of cancer death in the U.S.¹
- → Rates of CRC screening are particularly low among Spanish-speaking Hispanic/Latino populations; only 31% of Spanish-speaking Hispanics/Latinos report current CRC screening, compared to 62% of non-Hispanic whites.²
- → ProCRCScreen is a health-system based CRC screening program that combines two evidence-based strategies for increasing CRC screening: a mailed FIT program and patient navigation.<sup>3,4</sup>

Objective: to culturally and linguistically adapt ProCRCScreen materials by eliciting direct input from Hispanics/Latinos through focus groups.

#### **Methods**

Participants were recruited through bilingual flyers in health clinic waiting rooms, clinician referral, and community outreach. Four focus groups were conducted at Harborview Medical Center and at a community-based organization in Seattle-Metropolitan area.

#### Eligibility criteria

- + Hispanic/Latino
- → 50 75 years of age

#### Results

#### **Focus Group Themes**

- Suggestions on FIT Kit Instructions
  - The original FIT kit instruction was difficult to follow; have a clinic staff educate on the collection process.
  - Numerate the stool collection steps
  - Add an image of a person, clarifying the amount of stool to be collected
  - Insert simple text instructions and clarify return dates
- Importance of a Patient navigator
  - Most participants have not had a previous experience with a patient navigator but preferred to have one in the future.
  - When the patient navigation role was described, there was an overall sentiment on the importance of having access to culturally- and linguistically-concordant navigators for questions and clarifications.
  - A patient navigator could assist with the delivery of the FIT results and reminders to complete a CRC screening test.



- Utility of the Initial and Reminder Letter
  - There was an overall lack of interest about the initial and reminder letters.
  - Participant indicated that some people do not read and have poor eyesight.
  - If letters are used, they should be brief because too much information could scare patients from doing a CRC screening test.

#### **Overall Key Findings**

- The original FIT kit instruction was difficult to follow
- Education from clinic staff on the stool collection process is critical for completing the FIT test
- Reminder letters for FIT test completion may be construed as going through a cancer diagnosis
- Patients showed preference for verbal reminders
- Culturally and linguistically concordant patient navigators are critical to accessing healthcare



#### **Demographics of Participants (n=22)**

Characteristics	n	%
Mean Age (SD)	61.3 (6.35)	
Gender		
Male	8	36.4
Female	14	63.6
Marital Status		
Married/living with partner	7	31.8
Non-married	15	68.2
Mean Education (SD)	6.5 (4.15)	
Employment		
Full/part-time	6	27.3
Not employed	16	72.7
Income		
<\$15,000	15	68.2
\$15,000 - \$34,999	2	9.1
English Proficiency		
Not at all/not well	19	86.4
Well/very well	3	13.6
Insurance		
Private	3	13.6
Public	10	45.5
Uninsured	9	40.9
General Health		
Excellent/very good/good	7	22.8
Fair/poor	15	77.2

### This report was created May 1, 2019

#### References

- 1. Siegel R, Miller KD, Jemal A. Cancer statistics, 2017. CA: A Cancer Journal for Clinicians 2017;05 January
- 2. Liss DT, Baker DW. (2014) Understanding current racial/ethnic disparities in colorectal cancer screening in the United States: the contribution of socioeconomic status and access to care. American Journal of Preventive Medicine;46(3):228-36.
- 3. Cole AM, Esplin A, Baldwin LM. (2015) Adaptation of an evidence-based colorectal cancer screening program using the Consolidated Framework for Implementation Research. Preventing Chronic Disease; 12: E213.
- 4. Green BB, Anderson M, Chubak J, Baldwin LM, Tuzzio L, Catz S, Cole A, Vernon SW. (2016) Colorectal cancer screening rates increased after exposure to the patient-centered medical home. Journal of the American Board of Family Medicine; 29(2):191-200.

