# **ORIGINAL ARTICLE**

# Variation in 24 hemostatic genes and associations with non-fatal myocardial infarction and ischemic stroke

N. L. SMITH, \* † J. C. BIS, \* S. BIAGIOTTI, ‡ K. RICE, § T. LUMLEY, § C. KOOPERBERG, § ¶ K. L. WIGGINS, \*\* S. R. HECKBERT\* and B. M. PSATY\*'\*\* † †

\*Department of Epidemiology, University of Washington, Seattle, WA; †Seattle Epidemiologic Research and Information Center of the Department of Veterans Affairs Office of Research and Development, Seattle, WA, USA; ‡Medicina Internal, Ospedale L. Sacco, Universita degli Studi di Milano, Milan, Italy; §Department of Biostatistics, University of Washington, Seattle, WA; ¶Division of Public Health Sciences, Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center, Seattle, WA; \*\*Department of Medicine, University of Washington, Seattle, WA; and ††Department of Health Services, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA

To cite this article: Smith NL, Bis JC, Biagiotti S, Rice K, Lumley T, Kooperberg C, Wiggins KL, Heckbert SR, Psaty BM. Variation in 24 hemostatic genes and associations with non-fatal myocardial infarction and ischemic stroke. *J Thromb Haemost* 2008; **6**: 45–53.

Summary. Background: Arterial thrombosis involves platelet aggregation and clot formation, yet little is known about the contribution of genetic variation in fibrin-based hemostatic factors to arterial clotting risk. We hypothesized that common variation in 24 coagulation-fibrinolysis genes would contribute to risk of incident myocardial infarction (MI) or ischemic stroke (IS). Methods: We conducted a populationbased, case-control study. Subjects were hypertensive adults and postmenopausal women 30-79 years of age, who sustained a first MI (n = 856) or IS (n = 368) between 1995 and 2002, and controls matched on age, hypertension status, and calendar year (n = 2689). We investigated the risk of MI and IS associated with (i) global variation within each gene as measured by common haplotypes and (ii) individual haplotypes and single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs). Significance was assessed using a 0.2 threshold of the false discovery rate q-value, which accounts for multiple testing. Results: After accounting for multiple testing, global genetic variation in factor (F) VIII was associated with IS risk. Two haplotypes in FVIII and one in FXIIIa1 were significantly associated with increased IS risk (all q-values < 0.2). A plasminogen gene SNP was associated with MI risk. All are new discoveries not previously reported. Another 24 tests had *P*-values < 0.05 and *q*-values > 0.2 in MI and IS analyses, 23 of which are new and hypothesis generating. Conclusions: Apart from the association of FVIII variation with IS, we found little evidence that common

Correspondence: Nicholas L. Smith, Cardiovascular Health Research Unit, 1730 Minor Ave, Suite 1360, Seattle, WA 98101, USA. Tel.: +1 206 287 2784; fax: +1 206 287 2662; e-mail: nlsmith@u.washington.edu

Received 27 April 2007, accepted 13 September 2007

variation in the 24 candidate fibrin-based hemostasis genes strongly influences arterial thrombotic risk, but our results cannot rule out small effects.

**Keywords**: coagulation, epidemiology, genetics, ischemic stroke, myocardial infarction.

### Introduction

Fibrin clot formation and platelet aggregation create arterial thrombi that impede circulation and can precipitate adverse cardiovascular events such as myocardial infarction (MI) and ischemic stroke (IS). Acquired risk factors for MI and IS have been extensively characterized, yet little is known about the contribution of genetic variation in hemostatic factors to arterial clotting risk. Genetic investigations of coagulation, anticoagulation, fibrinolytic, and antifibrinolytic factors published to date have primarily investigated single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) or haplotypes defined by a few SNPs. Although individual study findings have often been inconsistent, meta-analyses of the data on factor (F) II G20210A, FV R509Q (Leiden), and plasminogen activator inhibitor type 1 (PAI-1) 4G/5G indicate that coronary disease and IS risks are increased by 4-47% [1-3]. Metaanalyses of data on several other variants in the clotting pathway (FVII G10976A, FXIIIa1 V34L, β-fibrinogen G-455A and C-148T) have suggested no association with either MI or IS. Findings for individual variants across other genes are limited and have not been included in metaanalyses [1-4].

The aim of these analyses was to describe the association of common genetic variation in 24 fibrin-based clotting candidate genes with first-time MI and IS in hypertensive adults and postmenopausal women. Our approach involved global testing of gene-wide variation for each of the 24 genes with MI risk and IS risk separately and exploration of haplotype and SNP contributions to risk.

## Methods

## Setting and design

The setting for this observational study was Group Health (GH), a large integrated healthcare system in western Washington State. These analyses were part of a series of ongoing, population-based, case-control studies of MI, ischemic and hemorrhagic stroke, venous thrombosis, and atrial fibrillation [5–8]. For these analyses, we combined data from the study of MI and IS risk in adults with treated hypertension and the study of MI and IS risk in peri- and postmenopausal women. This study was approved by the GH Human Subjects Review Committee and all study participants provided written informed consent.

## Study population

Subjects were pharmaceutically treated hypertensive men and women and peri- and postmenopausal women 30–79 years of age who were GH members. Case subjects were GH members who suffered an incident MI or IS between 1 January 1995 and 31 December 2002, and who were alive at the time of study recruitment. The date of the event served as an index date. Control subjects were a random sample of GH members that comprised a pool of control subjects shared by several case– control studies conducted at GH [5–8]. Control subjects were also GH members and were frequency matched by age (within decade), sex, treated hypertension status, and calendar-year of identification to MI cases, the largest case group. Control subjects included in this analysis had no prior history of MI or IS and their index date was a randomly chosen date within the calendar year from which they were selected as a control.

Case subjects were screened using ICD-9 codes from GH and non-GH hospitalizations. Trained medical record abstractors reviewed the medical records of all potential cases to verify the event. For MI, verification was based on symptoms, electrocardiogram findings, enzyme levels, and physician diagnosis and treatment. For IS, verification required the rapid onset of a neurologic deficit that persisted for at least 24 h or evidence of infarction on brain imaging studies or at surgery [5,9]. We excluded case subjects whose MI or stroke was a complication of a procedure or surgery.

#### Measures

Genetic variation The 24 candidate genes were selected *a* priori based on their role in regulating hemostasis. Specifically, the genes code for proteins affecting coagulation (FII, FV, FVII, FVIII, FIX, FX, FXI, FXII, FXIIIa1, and FXIIIb;  $\alpha$ -,  $\beta$ -, and  $\gamma$ -fibrinogen; and tissue factor), anticoagulation (antithrombin, proteins C and S, endothelial protein C receptor, thrombomodulin, and tissue factor pathway inhibitor), fibrinolysis [plasminogen, and tissue-type plasminogen activator (tPA)], and antifibrinolysis [PAI-1 and thrombin activatable fibrinolysis inhibitor (TAFI)]. All 24

genes were sequenced by the Seattle Program for Genomic Applications (PGA) using DNA obtained from a panel of 24 African-Americans and 23 European-Americans. Genes were sequenced starting 2.5 kb upstream of the start of transcription and extending 1.5 kb beyond the end of transcription. For large genes, sequencing was limited to exons and promoter regions. Details can be found at http://pga.gs.washington.edu/.

Among variants with a minor allele frequency of 5% or greater in the PGA panel, ldSelect (ldSelect version 1.0, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA) was used to identify maximally informative sets of SNPs to describe genetic variation using linkage disequilibrium [10]. This process was carried out separately for European- and African-American sequence data. From within ancestry-stratified SNP sets, a single variant was hierarchically chosen based on the following priorities: non-synonymous, untranslated regions (5' or 3'), promoter, intron, and synonymous. In addition, we identified non-synonymous SNPs that were not already selected and that had a minor allele frequency of 4% or greater in either racial group.

*Blood collection and genotyping* A blood sample was collected from all consenting subjects and DNA was extracted from white blood cells using standard procedures. Genotyping was performed by Illumina<sup>®</sup> (Illumina Inc., San Diego, CA, USA) with a GoldenGate custom panel using BeadArray<sup>®</sup> technology. Illumina<sup>®</sup> personnel were blinded to case–control status. The final list of SNPs in the 24 genes numbered 306, of which 280 were successfully genotyped on the Illumina<sup>®</sup> platform. Among the 280 successful SNPs, 99.94% of nucleotide pairs were successfully called. Agreement between Illumina<sup>®</sup> results and results from a substudy of 1472 subjects that used restriction fragment length polymorphism technology to genotype two variants (FV Leiden and FII G20210A) was excellent (kappa = 0.99 and 1.00, respectively).

Haplotype construction and SNP selection Phased haplotypes were estimated for each gene using PHASE software (PHASE version 2.0, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA), which computes probabilities for each haplotype pair consistent with the observed data [11]. When haplotypes were encountered, ambiguous multiple, probability-weighted haplotype pairs were created. We combined haplotypes with a frequency of less than 2% in control and case populations into a single 'other' haplotype. We split the FV gene into two sections below a point of high recombination (PGA site 36090) to minimize the number of haplotypes below the 2% threshold. Halves were analyzed separately.

From among the 280 SNPs that were genotyped in the study population, 109 SNPs were found to be either extremely rare or non-varying, or tightly linked ( $r^2 \ge 0.8$ ) with another SNP. Many of these low-informative SNPs were selected from African-American bins. These variants were excluded from SNP analyses leaving 171 SNPs characterizing genetic variation across the 24 candidate genes. RS numbers, PGA identifiers, and Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium *P*-values for all SNPs are listed in Table S1 of the Supplementary material.

*Demographic and clinical information* Demographic and health-status information was obtained by review of the entire GH ambulatory medical record up to the index date. Information was collected on treated hypertension and diabetes, menopausal and smoking status, and history of coronary heart disease (angina or coronary revascularization), transient ischemic attack, and chronic heart failure. Clinical measures were also collected and included most recent weight and height, blood pressure, and lipid measures. Information on race, ancestry, and tobacco use was collected from the participant by telephone interview.

#### Statistical Analyses

Haplotype and SNP models For each gene, weighted logistic regression was used to estimate haplotype associations. To express haplotype uncertainty, we used probability weights produced by PHASE and robust 'sandwich' errors, clustered by subject [12]. The number of copies of each haplotype (0, 1, or 2) was used as the predictor, and the most common haplotype in the gene was used as the reference level and was not included in the model. The estimates were adjusted for race, and matching variables sex, age, index year and hypertension. For each haplotype, this model provides an estimate of the risk associated with each additional copy of the specified haplotype compared with an additional copy of the reference haplotype. This assumes an additive model of inheritance where each additional allele modifies risk similarly. These are presented as odds ratios (ORs) with 95% CIs. As a global test of variation within each gene, we compared the haplotype model that characterized all common variation within the gene with a model that included no haplotype information using a Wald test. Formally, this tests the null hypothesis that all gene-wide variants have an OR equal to 1. In addition, for each SNP, unweighted logistic regression models were used to estimate the association with MI and stroke risk while adjusting for race and matching variables. A single predictor coded 0, 1, or 2 (representing the number of copies of the minor allele) was created for each SNP, giving estimates of the OR associated with each additional copy of the minor allele. For the two X-linked genes, FVIII and FIX, males were coded 0 or 1 for haplotype and SNPs and females were coded 0, 0.5, and 1 to express the uncertainty of which allele was influential while maintaining a single-allele risk estimate. Models were not adjusted for other risk factors besides age, sex, and race, because acquired characteristics do not generally confound genetic associations.

*Multiple testing and false discovery rate analysis* Twentyfive global gene association tests were performed on the 24 candidate genes (FV gene was split into two parts). In the absence of a true association for any gene, we would expect a *P*-value of less than 0.05 for at least one of the 25 gene-wide association tests. A total of 180 haplotypes and 171 SNPs were tested for individual associations across the 24 genes. By chance alone, we would expect *P*-values of less than 0.05 in nine of the haplotype-specific tests and in at least nine of the SNP-specific tests.

To assist in the interpretation of *P*-values given the number of statistical tests performed, false discovery rate (FDR) qvalues were calculated separately for gene-wide, haplotype and SNP analyses [13]. Rather than expressing the probability of one false positive result among all tests, the q-value estimates the proportion of results declared interesting that are actually false. A q-value threshold of 0.2 was selected to separate false discoveries from true discoveries in this candidate-gene investigation. A lower threshold is often used in genome-wide association studies, which does not use prior information to select candidate genes [14].

Interesting haplotype or SNP variants We examined 24 genes, and so can practically report measures of global association for each gene. However, with 180 haplotypes and 171 SNPs to assess, we summarized the more interesting findings. After computing *P*-values and *q*-values for all haplotypes and SNPs separately for each outcome, we identified and listed haplotypes and SNPs with *P*-values less than 0.05 in the models described above. In genes where the listed haplotype effect was primarily attributable to a single SNP, we listed the SNP and de-listed the haplotype to avoid redundancy of information. We have used a similar approach to report findings on these 24 hemostatic genes and venous thrombosis in postmenopausal women [15].

## Results

Included in the analyses were 856 GH members who suffered an incident MI (365 in hypertensive men, 242 in hypertensive women, and 249 in non-hypertensive women) and 368 who suffered an incident IS (114 in hypertensive men, 150 in hypertensive women, and 104 in non-hypertensive women) between 1 January 1995 and 31 December 2002, and who provided a blood sample. Controls were without a history of MI or IS and numbered 2689 (1128 hypertensive men, 847 hypertensive women, and 714 non-hypertensive women). Characteristics of the two case groups and controls are listed in Table 1. The study population was largely of European ancestry and the average age ranged from 65 to 68 years for case and control groups. Cardiovascular risk factors, such as smoking, diabetes, elevated systolic blood pressure, and treated hyperlipidemia, were more common in cases than in controls.

#### Gene associations

Table 2 provides a summary of the 24 candidate clottingrelated genes investigated and their global association with MI and IS risk. The proportion of all haplotypes with an incidence of 2% or less was small with 90% of alleles assigned a unique haplotype in 20 genes and nearly 80% in the remaining four

#### Table 1 Characteristics of study participants

$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	n = 2689 $(1)  64.8  (9.8)$ $(2451  (91)$ $(2451  (91)$ $(270  (10)$ $(1975  (73)$ $(306  (11)$ $(345  (13)$
9.9)         68.0 (9.1           57)         254 (69)           91)         335 (91)           18)         46 (13)           72)         264 (72)           24)         90 (24)           17)         45 (12)	1)         64.8 (9.8)           )         1561 (58)           )         2451 (91)           )         270 (10)           )         1975 (73)           )         306 (11)           )         345 (13)
57)         254 (69)           91)         335 (91)           18)         46 (13)           72)         264 (72)           24)         90 (24)           17)         45 (12)	) 1561 (58) ) 2451 (91) ) 270 (10) ) 1975 (73) ) 306 (11) ) 345 (13)
91)         335 (91)           18)         46 (13)           72)         264 (72)           24)         90 (24)           17)         45 (12)	) 2451 (91) ) 270 (10) ) 1975 (73) ) 306 (11) ) 345 (13)
18)       46 (13)         72)       264 (72)         24)       90 (24)         17)       45 (12)	) 270 (10) ) 1975 (73) ) 306 (11) ) 345 (13)
72)         264 (72)           24)         90 (24)           17)         45 (12)	) 1975 (73) ) 306 (11) ) 345 (13)
24)         90 (24)           17)         45 (12)	) 306 (11) ) 345 (13)
17) 45 (12)	) 345 (13)
17) 39 (11)	) 237 (9)
5) 16 (4)	68 (3)
4) 39 (11)	) 68 (3)
6.1) 30.0 (6.9	9) 29.4 (6.3)
20.2) 146 (22	.1) 138 (18.5)
11.4) 82 (11	.6) 80 (10.6)
	6.1)       30.0 (6.9         20.2)       146 (22         11.4)       82 (11

genes. For global tests of genetic relation to MI, there was no gene with a q-value less than 0.2 and only one gene with a Pvalue less than 0.05 (plasminogen). For global tests in relation to IS, only the FVIII gene had a q-value less than 0.2. No other gene had a P-value less than 0.05.

#### Haplotype and SNP associations

Tables S2–S26 in the Supplementary material present the basic analyses that were conducted for MI and IS outcomes for each gene. We present Table 3, the FV table, as an example. Table 3 provides a matrix of nucleotides that summarize the association between SNP variation and haplotypes within the upper portion of the FV gene. Shaded cells identify the minor allele. Haplotype- and SNP-specific ORs, 95% CIs, and P-values are presented. For MI, we found that one of the 10 haplotypes was associated with an increased risk (haplotype 9: OR 1.8; 95% CI 1.2-2.7) compared with the reference haplotype. The MI highrisk haplotype was marked by SNP PGA-46058 (non-synonymous substitution of T to S at amino acid 915, rs9332695),

Table	2	Candidate gene studie	d and	global	tests of	associatio	n with	risk	of incident	t myocardia	l infarction	(MI	) or ischem	ic stroke	(IS	5)
		• /											/			

Gene descriptives					Global risk association					
	Proportion	Number	Number of common	Haplotype	MI		IS			
Gene name (HUGO abbreviation)	of gene sequenced, %	of SNPs investigated	haplotypes observed*	coverage, %	P-value	FDR q-value	<i>P</i> -value	FDR <i>q</i> -value		
Coagulation										
Factor (F) II or prothrombin (F2)	92.2	8	8	95.8	0.6688	0.9010	0.3103	0.7052		
FV lower half of gene (F5)	71.3 <sup>†</sup>	5	8	91.8	0.5541	0.9010	0.1325	0.5521		
FV upper half of gene (F5)	71.3†	9	10	94.9	0.1139	0.8325	0.4475	0.7219		
FVII (F7)	61.6 <sup>‡</sup>	6	6	95.5	0.7186	0.9010	0.8336	0.9061		
FVIII (F8)	29.9 <sup>§</sup>	7	7	96.7	0.1025	0.8325	0.0023	0.0575		
FIX (F9)	100.0	11	10	85.1	0.1667	0.8335	0.9217	0.9217		
FX (F10)	86.2	10	12	79.9	0.3077	0.9010	0.4300	0.7219		
FXI (F11)	98.4	9	14	93.8	0.3709	0.9010	0.0509	0.5295		
FXII (F12)	100.0	2	3	100.0	0.4686	0.9010	0.7188	0.8985		
FXIIIa1 (F13A1)	16.5 <sup>§</sup>	9	14	91.7	0.7400	0.9010	0.1059	0.5295		
FXIIIb (F13B)	95.8	5	5	97.1	0.3134	0.9010	0.4620	0.7219		
Fibrinogen $\alpha$ (FGA)	100.0	5	6	99.0	0.7568	0.9010	0.3790	0.7219		
Fibrinogen β (FGB)	97.1	4	6	100.0	0.8497	0.9272	0.0996	0.5295		
Fibrinogen gamma (FGG)	100.0	4	6	99.9	0.4784	0.9010	0.0745	0.5295		
Tissue factor (F3)	71.3	5	8	99.4	0.1332	0.8325	0.9201	0.9217		
Anticoagulation										
Antithrombin (SERPINC1)	93.1	5	6	96.3	0.9415	0.9415	0.8199	0.9061		
Protein C (PROC)	92.8 <sup>‡</sup>	8	7	95.7	0.4225	0.9010	0.2957	0.7052		
Protein C receptor (PROCR)	96.8	4	6	98.5	0.4703	0.9010	0.2393	0.6878		
Protein S (PROS1)	58.6 <sup>†</sup>	6	7	97.9	0.8926	0.9298	0.7791	0.9061		
Thrombomodulin (THBD)	85.0 <sup>¶</sup>	4	5	97.7	0.6041	0.9010	0.5885	0.7743		
Tissue factor pathway inhibitor (TFPI)	98.1	5	8	99.7	0.4697	0.9010	0.4029	0.7219		
Fibrinolysis										
Plasminogen (PLG)	44.3 <sup>§</sup>	10	13	87.0	0.0226	0.5650	0.5840	0.7743		
Tissue-type plasminogen activator (PLAT)	93.8	12	12	90.3	0.7528	0.9010	0.2381	0.6878		
Antifibrinolysis	2010			2010	0.7020	0.0010	0.2001	0.0070		
Plasminogen activator inhibitor 1 (SERPINE1)	90.8	5	9	98.9	0.8530	0.9272	0.2476	0.6878		
Thrombin activatable fibrinolysis inhibitor (CPB2)	95.6	13	9	85.5	0.5806	0.9010	0.5628	0.7743		

HUGO, Human Genomics Organization; SNP, single nucleotide polymorphism. \*Haplotypes with a minor allele frequency less than 2% were grouped into an 'other' haplotype. <sup>†</sup>Missing many introns. <sup>‡</sup>Missing 2 exons. <sup>§</sup>Missing all introns. <sup>¶</sup>Missing a third of single exon.

Table 3 Association between factor V genetic variation and risk of myocardial infarction and ischemic stroke

O       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       Stroke         Haplotype 1       G       A       A       A       G       C       A       T       31.8       31.6       1.00 (reference)       33.0       1.00 (reference)         Haplotype 1       G       A       A       A       G       C       A       T       25.0       23.3       0.94 (0.81-1.09)       0.4086       24.5       0.94 (0.77-1.15)       0.5636         Haplotype 4       G       T       A       A       G       C       G       T       A       A       G       C       A       A       G       C       A       A       G       C       A       A       G       C       A       A       G       C       A       A       G       C       A       A       G       C       A       A       G       C       A       A       G       C       A       A       G       C       A       C       G       A       A       G       C       A       C       C       A       A       G       C       A       A       G       C       A       C <td< th=""><th><math display="block">\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c </math></th></td<>	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $
MAF       MAF       MAF       MAF       OR (95% Cl)       P-value       MAF       OR (95% Cl)       P-value         Haplotype 1       G       C       A       A       A       G       C       A       A       A       G       C       A       A       A       G       C       A       A       A       G       C       A       A       A       G       C       A       A       A       G       C       A       A       A       G       C       A       A       A       G       C       G       T       A       A       A       G       C       G       T       A       A       A       G       C       G       T       A       A       A       G       C       G       T       A       A       A       G       C       G       T       A       A       G       C       G       T       A       A       G       C       G       T       A       A       G       C       G       T       A       A       G       C       G       T       A       A       G       C       G       T       A       A       G	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $
Haplotype 1 Haplotype 2 Haplotype 3 Haplotype 4 Haplotype 4 Haplotype 4 Haplotype 5 Haplotype 7 Haplotype 6 Haplotype 7 Haplotype 7 Haplotype 7 Haplotype 8 Haplotype 7 Haplotype 8 Haplotype 7 Haplotype 8 Haplotype 9 Haplotype 9 Haplo	Haplotype 1 Haplotype 2 Haplotype 3 Haplotype 3GCAAGCATHaplotype 3 Haplotype 4 Haplotype 4GTAAGCGTTTGTT0.408624.50.94 (0.77-1.15)0.5636Haplotype 4 Haplotype 5GTAAAGTATGT0.90 (0.75-1.08)0.255011.70.82 (0.63-1.06)0.1300Haplotype 5 OtherGTCAAGCGT6.46.71.03 (0.81-1.30)0.81266.00.91 (0.64-1.29)0.6060Haplotype 6 Haplotype 7GCAAGCGT5.45.41.01 (0.78-1.30)0.96345.00.85 (0.59-1.24)0.4082Haplotype 7 Haplotype 7GTAAGCGT5.71.11 (0.87-1.42)0.39946.01.10 (0.79-1.55)0.5706Haplotype 8 Haplotype 8ATAAGCGT4.65.01.07 (0.83-1.39)0.60135.01.03 (0.71-1.50)0.8701Haplotype 9GCAAGCGT2.32.51.04 (0.72-1.49)0.84243.81.45 (0.93-2.28)0.1022Haplotype 9GCAATGCATGGT1.32.21.77 (1.17-2.67)
Haplotype 2       G       T       A       A       G       C       G       T       Z       S.0       23.3       0.94 (0.81-1.09)       0.4086       24.5       0.94 (0.77-1.15)       0.5636         Haplotype 3       G       T       A       A       A       G       T       A       A       A       G       T       A       A       A       G       T       C       D       0.3300       0.9634       5.0       0.82 (0.63-1.06)       0.1300         Haplotype 5       G       T       C       A       A       G       C       G       T       D       A       A       G       C       A       D       C       G       C       A       A       G       C       G       T       D       <	Haplotype 2 Haplotype 3 Haplotype 3G G G G GT A A A A AG A C G GC G G G T A Haplotype 4C G G G G T A Haplotype 5G G G T C AC A A A A A C C Haplotype 6C G G C G C A A Haplotype 7C A A A A A A A A A C Haplotype 6C G G C C A A A A A A C C A Haplotype 8G T A A A A A A A C C C A Haplotype 8G C A A A A A A A C C C A C Haplotype 8G C A A A A A A A C C C A C C A C Haplotype 8C C A A A A A A A C C C C A C C A C Haplotype 8C C A A A A A A A A C C C C C A A A A A C C C C C A A A A A A C C C C C C A A A A A A C C C C C A A A A A A A C C C C C C A A A A A A A A C C C C C C A A A A A A A B C C C C A A A A A A A A B C C C C A A A A A A A A B C C C C A A A A A A A A B C C C C A A A A A A A A B C C C C A A A A A A A A C C C C C C C C C <b< td=""></b<>
Haplotype 3 G I A A A G I A I Haplotype 4 G T A A A G C G T Haplotype 5 G T O A A A G C G T Haplotype 6 G C A A A G C C G T Haplotype 7 G C A A A G C C G T Haplotype 8 G C A A A G C C G T Haplotype 9 G G C A A A G C C G T Haplotype 9 G G C A A A G C C G T Haplotype 9 G G C A A A G C C G T Haplotype 9 G G C A A A G C C G T Haplotype 9 G G C A A A G C C G T Haplotype 9 G G C A A A G C C G T Haplotype 9 G G C A A A G C C G T Haplotype 9 G G C A A A G C C G T Haplotype 9 G G C A A A G C C G T Haplotype 9 G G C A A A G C C G T Haplotype 9 G G C A A A G C C G T Haplotype 9 G G C A A A G C C G T Haplotype 9 G G C A A A G C C G T Haplotype 9 G G C A A A G C C G T Haplotype 9 G G C A A A G C C G T Haplotype 9 G G C A A A G C C G T Haplotype 9 G G C A A G C C G T Haplotype 9 G G C A A G C C G T Haplotype 9 G G C A A G C C G T Haplotype 9 G G C A A G C C G T Haplotype 9 G G C A A G C C G T Haplotype 9 G G C A A G C C G T Haplotype 9 G G C A A G C C G T Haplotype 9 G G C A A G C C G T Haplotype 9 G G C A A G C C G C A T Haplotype 9 G G C A A G C C G C A T Haplotype 9 G G C A A G C C G C A T Haplotype 9 G G C A C C G C C C C C C C C C C C C C	Haplotype 3GIAAAGIIHaplotype 4GTAAATTGTHaplotype 5GTCAAATTGTOtherHaplotype 6GCAAAGCGT5.45.41.01 (0.78-1.30)0.96345.00.85 (0.59-1.24)0.4082Other
Haplotype 4       G       I       A       A       A       G       I <td< td=""><td>Haplotype 4GIAAAIIGI6.46.71.03<math>(0.81-1.30)</math><math>0.8126</math>6.0<math>0.91</math><math>(0.64-1.29)</math><math>0.6060</math>Haplotype 5GTCAAGCGT5.45.4<math>1.01</math><math>(0.78-1.30)</math><math>0.9634</math><math>5.0</math><math>0.85</math><math>(0.59-1.24)</math><math>0.4082</math>Other<math>0.5706</math>Haplotype 6GCAAAGCGT<math>4.6</math><math>5.5</math><math>1.19</math><math>(0.92-1.54)</math><math>0.1798</math><math>3.7</math><math>0.77</math><math>(0.51-1.16)</math><math>0.2125</math>Haplotype 8ATAAGCGT<math>4.6</math><math>5.0</math><math>1.07</math><math>(0.83-1.39)</math><math>0.6013</math><math>5.0</math><math>1.03</math><math>(0.71-1.50)</math><math>0.8701</math>Haplotype 9GCAAGCGT<math>2.3</math><math>2.5</math><math>1.04</math><math>(0.72-1.49)</math><math>0.8424</math><math>3.8</math><math>1.45</math><math>(0.93-2.28)</math><math>0.1022</math>Haplotype 9GCAATGCAT<math>7</math><math>7</math><math>7</math><math>1.17-2.67</math><math>0.0071</math><math>1.2</math><math>0.93</math><math>(0.46-1.89)</math><math>0.8375</math><math>1.3</math><math>2.2</math><math>1.77</math><math>(1.17-2.67)</math><math>0.0071</math><math>1.2</math><math>0.93</math><math>(0.46-1.89)</math><math>0.8375</math><math>1.6</math><math>7</math><math>6.6</math><math>7</math><math>6.6</math><math>7</math><math>7.6</math><math>6.6</math><math>7.6</math><math>7.6</math><math>7.6</math><math>7.6</math></td></td<>	Haplotype 4GIAAAIIGI6.46.71.03 $(0.81-1.30)$ $0.8126$ 6.0 $0.91$ $(0.64-1.29)$ $0.6060$ Haplotype 5GTCAAGCGT5.45.4 $1.01$ $(0.78-1.30)$ $0.9634$ $5.0$ $0.85$ $(0.59-1.24)$ $0.4082$ Other $0.5706$ Haplotype 6GCAAAGCGT $4.6$ $5.5$ $1.19$ $(0.92-1.54)$ $0.1798$ $3.7$ $0.77$ $(0.51-1.16)$ $0.2125$ Haplotype 8ATAAGCGT $4.6$ $5.0$ $1.07$ $(0.83-1.39)$ $0.6013$ $5.0$ $1.03$ $(0.71-1.50)$ $0.8701$ Haplotype 9GCAAGCGT $2.3$ $2.5$ $1.04$ $(0.72-1.49)$ $0.8424$ $3.8$ $1.45$ $(0.93-2.28)$ $0.1022$ Haplotype 9GCAATGCAT $7$ $7$ $7$ $1.17-2.67$ $0.0071$ $1.2$ $0.93$ $(0.46-1.89)$ $0.8375$ $1.3$ $2.2$ $1.77$ $(1.17-2.67)$ $0.0071$ $1.2$ $0.93$ $(0.46-1.89)$ $0.8375$ $1.6$ $7$ $6.6$ $7$ $6.6$ $7$ $7.6$ $6.6$ $7.6$ $7.6$ $7.6$ $7.6$
Haplotype 5       G       I       I       I       A       A       G       C       G       I       I       A       A       G       C       G       I       I       A       A       G       C       G       I       I       A       A       A       G       C       G       I <td< td=""><td>Haplotype 5       G       I       C       A       A       G       C       G       I       5.4       5.4       1.01 (0.78-1.30)       0.9634       5.0       0.85 (0.59-1.24)       0.4082         Other       Haplotype 6       G       C       A       A       G       C       A       C       I       5.7       1.11 (0.87-1.42)       0.3994       6.0       1.10 (0.79-1.55)       0.5706         Haplotype 7       G       T       A       A       G       C       G       I       4.6       5.5       1.19 (0.92-1.54)       0.1798       3.7       0.77 (0.51-1.16)       0.2125         Haplotype 8       A       T       A       A       G       C       G       T       2.3       2.5       1.04 (0.72-1.49)       0.8424       3.8       1.45 (0.93-2.28)       0.1022         Haplotype 9       G       C       A       T       G       C       A       T       G       A       T       0.8375         Haplotype 9       G       C       A       T       G       C       A       T       G       0.477       1.32       0.93 (0.46-1.89)       0.8375         Haplotype 9       G       &lt;</td></td<>	Haplotype 5       G       I       C       A       A       G       C       G       I       5.4       5.4       1.01 (0.78-1.30)       0.9634       5.0       0.85 (0.59-1.24)       0.4082         Other       Haplotype 6       G       C       A       A       G       C       A       C       I       5.7       1.11 (0.87-1.42)       0.3994       6.0       1.10 (0.79-1.55)       0.5706         Haplotype 7       G       T       A       A       G       C       G       I       4.6       5.5       1.19 (0.92-1.54)       0.1798       3.7       0.77 (0.51-1.16)       0.2125         Haplotype 8       A       T       A       A       G       C       G       T       2.3       2.5       1.04 (0.72-1.49)       0.8424       3.8       1.45 (0.93-2.28)       0.1022         Haplotype 9       G       C       A       T       G       C       A       T       G       A       T       0.8375         Haplotype 9       G       C       A       T       G       C       A       T       G       0.477       1.32       0.93 (0.46-1.89)       0.8375         Haplotype 9       G       <
Other       - <td>Other       G       C       A       A       G       C       A       C       C       S.1       S.7       1.11 (<math>0.87-1.42</math>)       <math>0.3994</math>       6.0       1.10 (<math>0.79-1.53</math>)       <math>0.5706</math>         Haplotype 6       G       C       A       A       G       C       A       C       4.6       5.5       1.19 (<math>0.92-1.54</math>)       0.1798       3.7       0.77 (<math>0.51-1.16</math>)       0.2125         Haplotype 7       G       T       A       A       G       C       G       T       4.6       5.0       1.07 (<math>0.83-1.39</math>)       0.6013       5.0       1.03 (<math>0.71-1.50</math>)       0.8701         Haplotype 8       A       T       A       A       G       C       G       T       2.3       2.5       1.04 (<math>0.72-1.49</math>)       0.8424       3.8       1.45 (<math>0.93-2.28</math>)       0.1022         Haplotype 9       G       C       A       T       G       A       T       G       A       T       G       A       T       G       A       T       G       A       T       G       A       T       G       A       T       G       A       T       G       A       T       G       A       G</td>	Other       G       C       A       A       G       C       A       C       C       S.1       S.7       1.11 ( $0.87-1.42$ ) $0.3994$ 6.0       1.10 ( $0.79-1.53$ ) $0.5706$ Haplotype 6       G       C       A       A       G       C       A       C       4.6       5.5       1.19 ( $0.92-1.54$ )       0.1798       3.7       0.77 ( $0.51-1.16$ )       0.2125         Haplotype 7       G       T       A       A       G       C       G       T       4.6       5.0       1.07 ( $0.83-1.39$ )       0.6013       5.0       1.03 ( $0.71-1.50$ )       0.8701         Haplotype 8       A       T       A       A       G       C       G       T       2.3       2.5       1.04 ( $0.72-1.49$ )       0.8424       3.8       1.45 ( $0.93-2.28$ )       0.1022         Haplotype 9       G       C       A       T       G       A       T       G       A       T       G       A       T       G       A       T       G       A       T       G       A       T       G       A       T       G       A       T       G       A       T       G       A       G
Haplotype 8 Haplotype 8 Haplotype 8 Haplotype 8 Haplotype 8 Haplotype 9 Haplotype 9 Haplo	Haplotype 7       G       T       A       A       G       C       A       G       C       A       G       C       A       G       C       A       G       C       A       G       C       A       G       C       A       G       C       G       T       A       A       G       C       G       T       A       A       G       C       G       T       A       A       G       C       G       T       A       A       G       C       G       T       A       A       G       C       G       T       A       A       G       C       G       T       A       A       G       C       G       T       A       A       G       C       G       T       A       A       G       C       G       T       A       A       G       C       G       C       A       T       G       C       A       T       G       C       A       T       G       C       A       T       G       C       A       T       G       C       A       T       G       C       A       T       G       C <t< td=""></t<>
Haplotype 7 Haplotype 7 Haplotype 8 Haplotype 8 Haplotype 8 Haplotype 8 Haplotype 8 Haplotype 8 Haplotype 9 G C A A A A G C C G T T Haplotype 9 G C A A A G C C G T T Haplotype 9 G C A A A G C C G T T Haplotype 9 G C A A A G C C G T T Haplotype 9 G C A A A G C C A T Haplotype 9 G C A A A G C C A T Haplotype 9 G C A A A G C C G T T Haplotype 9 G C A A A G C C A T Haplotype 9 G C A A A G C C A T Haplotype 9 G C A A A G C C A T Haplotype 9 G C A A A G C C A T Haplotype 9 G C A A A G C C A T Haplotype 9 G C A A A G C C A T Haplotype 9 G C A A A G C C A T Haplotype 9 G C A A A G C C A T Haplotype 9 G C A A A G C C A T Haplotype 9 G C A A A G C C A T Haplotype 9 G C A A A G C C A T Haplotype 9 G C A A A G C C G C A T Haplotype 9 G C A A A G C C A T Haplotype 9 G C A A A G C C A T Haplotype 9 G C A A A G C C C A T Haplotype 9 G C A A A G C C A T Haplotype 9 G C A A A G C C A T Haplotype 9 G C A A A G C C A T Haplotype 9 G C A A A G C C A T Haplotype 9 G C C A T Haplotype 9 G C A A A G C C A T Haplotype 9 G C A A A G C C A T Haplotype 9 G C A A A G C C A T Haplotype 9 G C A T T Haplotype 9 G C A A A G C C A T Haplotype 9 G C A T T T T Haplotype 9 G C A T T T T T Haplotype 9 G C A T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T	Haplotype 7       G       T       A       A       G       C       G       T       2.3       2.5       1.07       (0.053-1.35)       0.0013       3.0       1.03       (0.77-1.50)       0.0171         Haplotype 8       A       T       A       A       G       C       G       T       2.3       2.5       1.04       (0.72-1.49)       0.8424       3.8       1.45       (0.93-2.28)       0.1022         Haplotype 9       G       C       A       T       G       C       A       T       1.3       2.2       1.77       (1.17-2.67)       0.0071       1.2       0.93       (0.46-1.89)       0.8375         Global P-value = 0.1139       Global P-value = 0.1139       Global P-value = 0.4475       Global P-value = 0.4475
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Haplotype 9       G       C       A       T       G       C       A       T       G       C       A       T       G       C       A       T       G       C       A       T       G       C       A       T       G       C       A       T       G       C       A       T       G       C       A       T       G       C       A       T       G       C       A       T       G       C       A       T       G       C       A       T       G       C       A       T       G       C       A       T       G       C       A       T       G       C       A       T       G       C       A       T       G       C       A       C       G       C       G       C       G       C       G       C       G       C       G       C       G       G       G       C       C       G       C <t< td=""></t<>
Gold (95%Cl)       P-value       MI OH       (1100-2:34)       0.00011       UF 000 (0.10 - 100)         B (1.000-2:34)       0.0112       UF 000 (0.10 - 100)       UF 000 (0.10 - 100)       UF 000 (0.10 - 100)         B (1.000-2:34)       0.0123       UF 000 (0.10 - 100)       UF 000 (0.10 - 100)       UF 000 (0.10 - 100)         B (1.000-2:34)       0.0124       UF 000 (0.10 - 100)       UF 000 (0.10 - 100)       UF 000 (0.10 - 100)         B (1.000-2:34)       0.01242       UF 000 (0.10 - 114)       UF 000 (0.10 - 114)       UF 000 (0.10 - 114)         B (0.011 - 100)       0.01242       UF 000 (0.10 - 114)       UF 000 (0.10 - 114)       UF 000 (0.10 - 114)         B (0.011 - 1130)       UF 000 (0.114)       UF 000 (0.114)       UF 000 (0.114)       UF 000 (0.104)         B (0.012 - 1130)       UF 000 (0.114)       UF 000 (0.114)       UF 000 (0.104)       UF 000 (0.104)         B (0.012 - 1130)       UF 000 (0.114)       UF 000 (0.114)       UF 000 (0.114)       UF 000 (0.104)         B (0.012 - 1130)       UF 000 (0.114)       UF 000 (0.114)       UF 000 (0.114)       UF 000 (0.104)         B (0.012 - 1130)       UF 000 (0.114)       UF 000 (0.114)       UF 000 (0.114)       UF 000 (0.114)         B (0.012 - 1130)       UF 000 (0.114)       UF 000 (0.114)       UF 000 (0	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
	Ke OR (95%Cl)       P-value MI OR (95%Cl)         33 (1.00-2.34)       0.0484       1.03       (0.73-1.47)         26 (0.86-1.20)       0.8308       1.10       (0.98-1.23)         27 (0.54-2.12)       0.8366       1.74       (1.16-2.62)         37 (0.54-2.12)       0.8366       1.74       (1.16-2.62)         38 (0.71-1.37)       0.9171       1.00       (0.79-1.26)         39 (0.554-2.12)       0.8366       1.74       (1.16-2.62)         30 (0.554-2.12)       0.8366       1.74       (1.16-2.62)         30 (0.73-1.10)       0.2935       0.91       (0.87-1.09)         30 (0.73-1.10)       0.2935       0.94       (0.87-1.09)         31 = Motocatial interconon output and the fued output

which was also associated with MI risk (OR 1.7; 95% CI 1.2– 2.6). The minor allele frequency of the haplotype and SNP were identical in controls (1.3%). For IS, the FV Leiden variant was associated with risk (OR 1.5; 95% CI 1.0–2.3) yet the haplotype marked by this variant (haplotype 8) was not in part because reference groups were not the same for the two comparisons. Minor allele frequency of the variant and haplotype were identical in controls (2.3%).

A total of 180 haplotypes were tested across the 24 candidate genes. For MI, seven (3.9%) of the 180 tests had *P*-values less than 0.05, which represents two fewer than the nine that would have been expected by chance alone at the  $\alpha$ -level of 0.05. For IS, eight (4.4%) of the 180 tests had *P*-values less than 0.05. The seven MI and the eight IS risk haplotypes were not the same. A total of 171 SNPs were tested across 24 candidate genes. For MI, five (2.9%) of the 171 tests had *P*-values less than 0.05. For IS, nine (5.3%) of the 171 tests had *P*-values less than 0.05. None of the MI and IS risk SNPs was the same. Among all haplotype and SNP associations tested, only four had FDR *q*-values less than 0.2. Three were associated with IS risk: FVIII haplotype 2 (OR 2.0; 95% CI 1.3–2.9; *q*-value 0.0630); FVIII

MI = Myocardial infarction OR = Odds ratio SNP = Single nucleotide polymorphism 'other' haplotype (OR 2.8; 95% CI 1.4–5.6; *q*-value 0.1860);

onier haplotype (OR 2.6, 55% CI 1.4–5.6, q-value 0.1606), and FXIIIa haplotype 11 (OR 1.8; 95% CI 1.3–2.6; q-value 0.0630). One was associated with MI risk: plasminogen PGA-18114 (rs3823055; OR 1.2; 95% CI 1.1–1.4; q-value 0.1710). Table 4 lists the summary of these findings. When analyses were conducted separately among subjects with hypertension and among women, respectively, risk estimates were similar and not statistically different: FVIII haplotype 2 for IS, 1.7 vs. 2.2; FVIII 'other' haplotype for IS, 2.4 vs. 2.1; FXIIIa1 haplotype 11 for IS, 1.9 vs. 1.6; plasminogen PGA-18114 for MI, 1.3 vs. 1.2.

#### Comment

This investigation assessed the risk of arterial clotting associated with common genetic variation in 24 candidate coagulation, anticoagulation, fibrinolysis, and antifibrinolysis genes. Factor VIII was globally associated with IS risk and no gene was globally associated with MI risk. Three haplotypes, two in FVIII and one in FXIIIa1, were significantly associated with a 96%, 181%, and 81% increase in IS risk, respectively (all FDR

<b>Table -</b> Summary of interesting associations between Styles and naplotypes and fisk of myocardial interesting inscience subsci	Table 4	Summar	y of interesting	g associations	between SNF	s and haplot	ypes and risk	of myocardia	al infarction (I	MI)	or ischemic s	troke	(IS
--	---------	--------	------------------	----------------	-------------	--------------	---------------	--------------	------------------	-----	---------------	-------	-----

				MI			IS								
Gene	Variant identifier (location)*	Susbstitution	MAF (%)	OR (95%CI) <sup>†</sup>	<i>P</i> -value	FDR <i>q</i> -value	Status	OR (95%CI) <sup>†</sup>	<i>P</i> -value	FDR <i>q</i> -value	Status				
FV	46058	T915S	1	1.74 (1.16-2.62)	0.0072	0.4104	New	1.07 (0.54-2.12)	0.8366	_	_				
FV	Factor V Leiden	R534Q	2	1.03 (0.73–1.47)	0.8491	_	—	1.53 (1.00–2.34)	0.0484	0.8162	Rep				
FVIII	25167	G > A	2	0.75 (0.39-1.43)	0.3780	_	_	2.10 (1.01-4.37)	0.0460	0.8162	New				
FVIII	55941	C > G	27	1.04 (0.84–1.27)	0.7361	_	_	1.56 (1.16-2.08)	0.0029	0.2480	New				
FVIII	95826	D1260E	18	0.94 (0.74-1.20)	0.6192	_	_	1.70 (1.22-2.35)	0.0015	0.2480	New				
FVIII	Hap 2	_	13	0.86 (0.65-1.15)	0.3242	-	-	1.96 (1.34-2.87)	0.0006	0.0630	New				
FVIII	Hap other	_	3	0.65 (0.37-1.14)	0.1337	-	-	2.81 (1.42-5.58)	0.0031	0.1860	New				
FIX	12806	T > A	5	1.54 (1.06-2.24)	0.0229	0.9714	New	0.99 (0.53-1.85)	0.9787	_	_				
FIX	Hap 2	_	18	1.37 (1.04–1.82)	0.0262	0.7860	New	1.29 (0.85-1.95)	0.2312	_	-				
FIX	Hap 5	_	5	1.81 (1.19-2.75)	0.0052	0.4920	New	1.20 (0.61-2.36)	0.6034	_	-				
FX	9501	G > T	14	0.99 (0.84–1.16)	0.8626	-	-	0.76 (0.59-0.97)	0.0303	0.8162	New				
FX	Hap 7	_	6	0.90 (0.71-1.15)	0.4183	-	-	0.61 (0.41-0.90)	0.0135	0.4037	New				
FXI	3450	T > C	25	1.00 (0.88-1.14)	0.9891	-	-	0.81 (0.67-0.99)	0.0351	0.8162	New				
FXI	10942	A > T	12	1.06 (0.90-1.25)	0.4890	-	-	1.29 (1.04-1.62)	0.0231	0.8162	New				
FXI	Hap 13	_	1	1.56 (0.95-2.54)	0.0769	-	-	2.16 (1.21-3.85)	0.0092	0.3312	New				
FXI	Hap 8	_	4	1.38 (1.04–1.82)	0.0244	0.7860	New	0.56 (0.30-1.04)	0.0680	-	-				
FXIIIa1	Hap 11	_	2	1.01 (0.76-1.34)	0.9430	-	-	1.81 (1.28-2.56)	0.0007	0.0630	New				
FGG	Hap other	_	< 1	1.56 (0.39-6.31)	0.5311	-	-	5.41 (1.54-19.0)	0.0086	0.3312	New				
TF	Hap 2	_	20	1.17 (1.01–1.35)	0.0396	0.9445	New	1.12 (0.90-1.38)	0.3094	-	-				
TF	Hap 6	_	4	1.39 (1.07-1.79)	0.0125	0.5625	New	1.11 (0.75–1.63)	0.6113	-	-				
PROCR	837	C > G	10	1.00 (0.83-1.21)	0.9599	-	-	0.74 (0.55-0.99)	0.0441	0.8162	New				
PROCR	3600	T > C	5	0.74 (0.56-0.97)	0.0293	0.9714	New	0.99 (0.69–1.41)	0.9488	-	-				
PLG	18114	G > A	29	1.21 (1.08-1.36)	0.0010	0.1710	New	1.01 (0.85-1.20)	0.9173	-	-				
PLG	31439	D472N	28	0.83 (0.73-0.94)	0.0035	0.2993	New	0.85 (0.71-1.02)	0.0802	-	-				
PLG	34158	C > T	4	1.20 (0.91-1.58)	0.2026	-	-	1.58 (1.10-2.26)	0.0131	0.7467	New				
PLG	Hap 7	_	8	1.34 (1.08–1.66)	0.0082	0.4920	New	0.97 (0.70-1.34)	0.8493	-	-				
TPA	Hap 11	-	1	1.13 (0.72–1.77)	0.5991	-	-	1.78 (1.05-3.02)	0.0326	0.7335	New				
PAI-1	Hap 8	-	3	1.11 (0.84–1.46)	0.4766	-	-	1.54 (1.08–2.18)	0.0157	0.4037	New				

FDR, false discovery rate; FGG,  $\gamma$ -fibrinogen; FV, factor V; FVIII, factor VIII; FIX, factor IX; FX, factor X; FXI, factor FXI; FXIIIa1, factor XIIIa1; Hap, haplotype; MAF, minor allele frequency; PROCR, protein C receptor; PLG, plasminogen; plasminogen activator inhibitor type 1, PAI-1; SNP, single nucleotide polymorphism; TF, tissue factor; TPA, tissue plasminogen activator. \*For SNPs, the Seattle PGA identifier is included. <sup>†</sup>For SNP models, the comparison group is those homozygous for the wild type; for haplotype models, the reference group is the most common haplotype in controls.

q-values < 0.2). One SNP, in plasminogen, was associated with a 21% increase in MI risk. Another 24 SNPs and haplotypes had P-values less than 0.05 yet had q-values greater than 0.2 in MI and IS analyses.

#### Ischemic stroke

Haplotype 2 and the 'other' haplotype of FVIII were among three FVIII SNPs that were associated with an increased risk of IS. None of these associations has been previously reported. As described in Table S7, FVIII haplotype 2 is marked (but not exclusively) by the minor allele of two of the three SNPs also associated with IS risk: PGA-95826 (rs1800291), a D to E amino-acid substitution at position 1260; and PGA-55941 (rs1936645), an intronic nucleotide substitution in tight LD with D1260E ( $r^2 = 0.73$ ). The combined 'other' haplotype was composed of 185 rare haplotypes and included all minor alleles for the M2257V substitution (PGA-165293, rs1800297) that was private to African-Americans and that was associated with a non-significant elevated risk in our data (OR 2.6; 95% CI 0.9-7.1). Haplotype 11 of FXIIIa1 was associated with an increased risk of IS and carries minor alleles for V35L and P565L. Neither amino acid substitution was associated with a significant increase in IS in our data, similar to null reports from a meta-analysis [2]. The FV Leiden variant, which was associated with a 53% increase in risk in our data, replicates findings from a recent meta-analysis that found a 33% increase in IS [2]. PAI-1 haplotype 8, associated with a 54% increase in IS risk, is marked by the minor alleles of PGA-664 (rs2227631) and PGA-12219 (rs11178) and this finding appears to be new. The PGA-664 variant is in strong LD ( $r^2 = 0.92$ ) with the G insertion (PGA-837 4G/5G), which has been associated with a 47% increase in IS risk in a recessive risk model that combined data from five studies [2]. The tag SNP for 4G/5G was not associated with risk in our additive model and a post hoc exploration of the recessive model did not yield significant findings (OR 1.2; 95% CI 0.9-1.6). The IS associations with FX, FXI, γ-fibrinogen, protein C receptor, plasminogen, and tPA have not been reported in the literature and serve as hypothesis-generating findings.

## Myocardial infarction

The PGA-18114 SNP in plasminogen was associated with a 21% increase in risk and this finding has not been reported previously. The 10 other associations with MI listed in Table 4 had a *q*-value less than 0.2. Of those with *P*-values less than 0.05, variants in FV, FIX, FX, FXI, tissue factor, protein C receptor, and plasminogen genes have not been previously reported to be associated with MI risk. Two of these variants were non-synonymous substitutions: FV T915S and plasminogen D472N. Two haplotypes in tissue factor, 2 and 6, were associated with increased MI risk. Haplotype 6 includes the minor allele by PGA-599 (rs958587), which, along with another promoter variant in tight LD, has been associated with increased cardiovascular morbidity and mortality [16,17]. The PGA-599 was not associated with MI risk in our data. Associations with both haplotypes appear to be new findings.

Factor V Leiden was not associated with MI risk in our data (OR 1.0; 95% CI 0.7-1.5), yet our CI overlaps the risk estimate from a recent meta-analysis [1]. Similarly, our CIs for FII 20210A (OR 1.4; 95% CI 0.9-2.1) or the PAI-1 4G/5G tag SNP (OR 1.0; 95% CI 0.9-1.1) overlapped estimates of 25% and 4% increases in MI risk, respectively, reported in the metaanalysis [1]. We did not find FXIIIa1 V35L (also reported as V34L) to be associated with decreased risk in additive (OR 0.9; 95% CI 0.8-1.1) or post hoc recessive models (OR 0.9; 95% CI 0.7-1.3) and reports for this variant have been inconsistent [2]. We did not find significant associations between FVII, FVIII, FXII, and thrombomodulin variants and MI risk, unlike previous reports, some of which have been inconsistent [1,18-27]. The A147T variant in TAFI has been inconsistently associated with coronary disease risk and this variant was not identified by the PGA, so it was not genotyped in our data [28,29].

#### Interpretation of the FDR statistic in candidate gene studies

We used a 0.2 threshold for the q-value to guide the interpretation of gene-, haplotype-, and SNP-level findings in this candidate gene study. This threshold identified one significant global gene association among 50 tests performed (two outcomes  $\times 25$  genes), three significant haplotype associations among the 360 performed  $(2 \times 180)$ , and one significant SNP among the 342 tests performed  $(2 \times 171)$ . By design, 20% of these discoveries are likely to be false. Without the application of the false discovery rate, two of the global gene tests and 28 of the haplotype and SNP tests would have been considered significant based on a P-value of less than 0.05. We did not exclude from analyses three variants (FV Leiden, FII 20210A, and PAI-1 4G/5G) that were associated with MI and IS risk in recent meta-analyses [1,2]. Exclusion of these SNPs and the haplotypes that they mark from analyses would have minor effects on FDR q-values.

#### Limitations

Our comprehensive approach to risk evaluation among candidate genes at whole-gene, haplotype, and SNP levels for two arterial thrombotic outcomes inherently involved multiple tests. To better characterize the risk of reporting false positive associations, we provided FDR q-values and a suggested qvalue threshold. We limited our investigation to the main effects of genes and did not explore gene-gene interactions or gene-environment interactions. Population association studies such as ours are prone to the potential confounding effects of population substructure. We have adjusted for self-reported race in all analyses but the population of African-Americans in our study was too small to meaningfully address risk differences by race. As our study had only candidate genes, methods of population substructure estimation such as STRUCTURE, or 'genomic control' of population stratification could not be applied [30,31]. For large genes, such as FVIII, only portions of the gene had been sequenced for variation, which limits the amount of variation we were able to capture with our approach. Most non-sequenced gene sections were introns, however. With the exception of FX, our approach used the most common haplotype as the reference in haplotype analyses, and other approaches are possible that would lead to different risk estimates and P-values. Although we had adequate statistical power to detect MI risks as small as 1.4 for a variant with a incidence of 10%, our power was more limited for IS, where we could detect a risk as small as 1.9 for a variant with the same incidence. The sample size of our study limited our ability to detect risks in the 1.2-1.5 range, especially for IS outcomes. Although we adjusted for multiple testing, these analyses did not involve replication in another population, so any new findings we have reported need this additional level of interrogation before true associations are established. All subjects in these analyses were survivors of their incident event and findings cannot be generalized to fatal events, which may be more thrombogenic and associated more strongly with genetic risk. Results also cannot be generalized to nonhypertensive men and those who suffered an event before the age of 30 or after 79.

This study investigated the risk of arterial thrombosis associated with common variation in 24 key genes in fibrinbased clotting pathways. After accounting for false discoveries, only FVIII was associated with gene-wide global risk and this was for IS exclusively. Three haplotypes, two in FVIII and one in FXIIIa1, were significantly associated with IS risk. A SNP in the plasminogen gene was associated with MI risk. All were new discoveries that need replication in other populations and as well as follow-up functional investigations to determine their impact on factor plasma levels and activity. Another 24 associations had *P*-values less than 0.05 and an FDR *q*-value greater than 0.2. One of these associations has been previously reported and our study serves as a replication; the remaining 24 are new and hypothesis generating and need replication in other study populations. Apart from the association of FVIII variation with IS, we found little evidence that genetic variation in fibrin-based hemostatic factors strongly influences arterial thrombotic risk, but our results cannot rule out the presence of small effects.

## Addendum

N. L. Smith obtained funding for the study and was responsible for the overall integrity of the manuscript including the study design, data analysis, and written manuscript. J. C. Bis assisted with analyses, data interpretation, and critical revisions of the manuscript. S. Biagiotti conducted literature searches, reviewed and interpreted the literature, and composed the literature review. K. Rice, T. Lumley, and C. Kooperberg provided input on study design, expert statistical review, and provided critical revisions to the manuscript. K. L. Wiggins provided data management and critical revisions of the methods section of the manuscript. S. R. Heckbert and B. M. Psaty obtained funding for the study, contributed to the design of the study, assisted with data interpretation, and provided critical revisions to the manuscript.

## Acknowledgements

The research reported in this article was supported by the National Health Lung and Blood Institute grants HL73410, HL60739, HL68639, HL43201, HL74745, HL68986; National Institute on Aging grant AG09556, and the Seattle Epidemiologic Research and Information Center of the Department of Veterans Affairs Office of Research and Development. The NIH had no role in the design and conduct of the study; collection, management, analysis, and interpretation of the data; and preparation, review, or approval of the manuscript. N. L. Smith had full access to all of the data in the study and takes responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis.

## **Disclosure of Conflicts of Interest**

The authors state that they have no conflict of interest.

## Supplementary Material

The following supplementary material is available for this article:

**Table S1.** Gene, Seattle Program for Genomic Applications identifier and RS number for single nucleotide polymorphisms presented in appendix tables A2 to A26.

**Table S2.** Association between factor II variants and myocardial infarction and ischemic stroke.

 
 Table S3. Association between factor V (lower) variants and myocardial infarction and ischemic stroke risk.

**Table S4.** Association between factor V (upper) variants and myocardial infarction and ischemic stroke risk.

**Table S5.** Association between factor VII variants andmyocardial infarction and ischemic stroke risk.

 Table S6.
 Association between factor VIII variants and myocardial infarction and ischemic stroke risk.

 
 Table S7. Association between factor IX variants and myocardial infarction and ischemic stroke risk.

**Table S8.** Association between factor X variants and myocardial infarction and ischemic stroke risk.

 
 Table S9. Association between factor XI variants and myocardial infarction and ischemic stroke risk.

 Table S10.
 Association between factor XII variants and myocardial infarction and ischemic stroke risk.

 
 Table S11. Association between factor XIIIa1 variants and myocardial infarction and ischemic stroke risk.

 Table S12. Association between factor XIIIb variants and myocardial infarction and ischemic stroke risk.

Table S13. Association between  $\alpha$  fibrinogen variants and myocardial infarction and ischemic stroke risk.

Table S14. Association between  $\beta$  fibrinogen variants and myocardial infarction and ischemic stroke risk.

**Table S15.** Association between  $\gamma$  fibrinogen variants and myocardial infarction and ischemic stroke risk.

 Table S16. Association between tissue factor variants and myocardial infarction and ischemic stroke risk.

 Table S17. Association between antithrombin variants and myocardial infarction and ischemic stroke risk.

 Table S18.
 Association between protein C variants and myocardial infarction and ischemic stroke risk.

**Table S19.** Association between protein C receptor variants and myocardial infarction and ischemic stroke risk.

 Table S20.
 Association between protein S variants and myocardial infarction and ischemic stroke risk.

 
 Table S21. Association between thrombomodulin variants and myocardial infarction and ischemic stroke risk.

 Table S22.
 Association between tissue factor pathway inhibitor variants and myocardial infarction and ischemic stroke risk.

 Table S23. Association between plasminogen variants and myocardial infarction and ischemic stroke risk.

**Table S24.** Association between tissue-type plasminogen activator variants and myocardial infarction and ischemic stroke risk.

**Table S25.** Association between type 1 plasminogen activator inhibitor variants and myocardial infarction and ischemic stroke risk.

**Table S26.** Association between thrombin activatable fibrinolysis inhibitor variants and myocardial infarction and ischemic stroke risk.

This material is available as part of the online article from http://www.blackwell-synergy.com/doi/abs/10.1111/j.1538-7836.2007.02795.x (This link will take you to the article abstract).

Please note: Blackwell Publishing are not responsible for the content or functionality of any Supplementary materials supplied by the authors. Any queries (other than missing

material) should be directed to the corresponding author for the article.

#### References

- 1 Ye Z, Liu EH, Higgins JP, Keavney BD, Lowe GD, Collins R, Danesh J. Seven haemostatic gene polymorphisms in coronary disease: metaanalysis of 66,155 cases and 91,307 controls. *Lancet* 2006; 367: 651–8.
- 2 Casas JP, Hingorani AD, Bautista LE, Sharma P. Meta-analysis of genetic studies in ischemic stroke: thirty-two genes involving approximately 18,000 cases and 58,000 controls. *Arch Neurol* 2004; **61**: 1652– 61.
- 3 Boekholdt SM, Bijsterveld NR, Moons AH, Levi M, Buller HR, Peters RJ. Genetic variation in coagulation and fibrinolytic proteins and their relation with acute myocardial infarction: a systematic review. *Circulation* 2001; **104**: 3063–8.
- 4 Smith GD, Harbord R, Milton J, Ebrahim S, Sterne JA. Does elevated plasma fibrinogen increase the risk of coronary heart disease? Evidence from a meta-analysis of genetic association studies *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol* 2005; **25**: 2228–33.
- 5 Psaty BM, Heckbert SR, Atkins D, Lemaitre R, Koepsell TD, Wahl PW, Siscovick DS, Wagner EH. The risk of myocardial infarction associated with the combined use of estrogens and progestins in postmenopausal women. *Arch Intern Med* 1994; **154**: 1333–9.
- 6 Psaty BM, Heckbert SR, Koepsell TD, Siscovick DS, Raghunathan TE, Weiss NS, Rosendaal FR, Lemaitre RN, Smith NL, Wahl PW, Wagner EH, Furberg CD. The risk of myocardial infarction associated with antihypertensive drug therapies. *JAMA* 1995; 274: 620–5.
- 7 Smith NL, Heckbert SR, Lemaitre RN, Reiner AP, Lumley T, Weiss NS, Larson EB, Rosendaal FR, Psaty BM. Esterified estrogens and conjugated equine estrogens and the risk of venous thrombosis. *JAMA* 2004; **292**: 1581–7.
- 8 Dublin S, French B, Glazer NL, Wiggins KL, Lumley T, Psaty BM, Smith NL, Heckbert SR. Risk of new-onset atrial fibrillation in relation to body mass index. *Arch Intern Med* 2006; 166: 2322–8.
- 9 Lemaitre RN, Heckbert SR, Psaty BM, Smith NL, Kaplan RC, Longstreth WT Jr. Hormone replacement therapy and associated risk of stroke in postmenopausal women. *Arch Intern Med* 2002; 162: 1954–60.
- 10 Carlson CS, Eberle MA, Rieder MJ, Yi Q, Kruglyak L, Nickerson DA. Selecting a maximally informative set of single-nucleotide polymorphisms for association analyses using linkage disequilibrium. *Am J Hum Genet* 2004; **74**: 106–20.
- 11 Stephens M, Smith NJ, Donnelly P. A new statistical method for haplotype reconstruction from population data. *Am J Hum Genet* 2001; **68**: 978–89.
- 12 French B, Lumley T, Monks SA, Rice KM, Hindorff LA, Reiner AP, Psaty BM. Simple estimates of haplotype relative risks in case–control data. *Genet Epidemiol* 2006; **30**: 485–94.
- 13 Benjamini Y, Hochberg Y. Controlling the false discovery rate: a practical and powerful approach to multiple testing. *J R Statist Soc B* 1995; 57: 289–300.
- 14 Benjamini Y, Yekutieli D. Quantitative trait Loci analysis using the false discovery rate. *Genetics* 2005; 171: 783–90.
- 15 Smith NL, Hindorff LA, Heckbert SR, Lemaitre RN, Marciante KD, Rice K, Lumley T, Bis JC, Wiggins KL, Rosendaal FR, Psaty BM. Association of genetic variations with nonfatal venous thrombosis in postmenopausal women. *JAMA* 2007; **297**: 489–98.
- 16 Malarstig A, Tenno T, Johnston N, Lagerqvist B, Axelsson T, Syvanen AC, Wallentin L, Siegbahn A. Genetic variations in the tissue factor gene are associated with clinical outcome in acute coronary syndrome

and expression levels in human monocytes. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol* 2005; **25**: 2667–72.

- 17 Ott I, Koch W, von Beckerath N, de Waha R, Malawaniec A, Mehilli J, Schomig A, Kastrati A. Tissue factor promotor polymorphism -603 A/G is associated with myocardial infarction. *Atherosclerosis* 2004; 177: 189–91.
- 18 Bozzini C, Girelli D, Bernardi F, Ferraresi P, Olivieri O, Pinotti M, Martinelli N, Manzato F, Friso S, Villa G, Pizzolo F, Beltrame F, Corrocher R. Influence of polymorphisms in the factor VII gene promoter on activated factor VII levels and on the risk of myocardial infarction in advanced coronary atherosclerosis. *Thromb Haemost* 2004; **92**: 541–9.
- 19 Nossent AY, Eikenboom JC, Tanis BC, Doggen CJ, Rosendaal FR. Haplotypes encoding the factor VIII 1241Glu variation and the risk of myocardial infarction. J Thromb Haemost 2007; 5: 619–21.
- 20 Kohler HP, Futers TS, Grant PJ. FXII (46C->T) polymorphism and in vivo generation of FXII activity–gene frequencies and relationship in patients with coronary artery disease. *Thromb Haemost* 1999; 81: 745–7.
- 21 Endler G, Mannhalter C, Sunder-Plassmann H, Lalouschek W, Kapiotis S, Exner M, Jordanova N, Meier S, Kunze F, Wagner O, Huber K. Homozygosity for the C->T polymorphism at nucleotide 46 in the 5' untranslated region of the factor XII gene protects from development of acute coronary syndrome. *Br J Haematol* 2001; **115**: 1007–9.
- 22 Zito F, Lowe GD, Rumley A, McMahon AD, Humphries SE. Association of the factor XII 46C>T polymorphism with risk of coronary heart disease (CHD) in the WOSCOPS study. *Atherosclerosis* 2002; 165: 153–8.
- 23 Santamaria A, Martinez-Rubio A, Mateo J, Tirado I, Soria JM, Fontcuberta J. Homozygosity of the T allele of the 46 C->T polymorphism in the F12 gene is a risk factor for acute coronary artery disease in the Spanish population. *Haematologica* 2004; 89: 878–9.
- 24 Roldan V, Gonzalez-Conejero R, Marin F, Pineda J, Vicente V, Corral J. Five prothrombotic polymorphisms and the prevalence of premature myocardial infarction. *Haematologica* 2005; **90**: 421–3.
- 25 Norlund L, Holm J, Zoller B, Ohlin AK. A common thrombomodulin amino acid dimorphism is associated with myocardial infarction. *Thromb Haemost* 1997; **77**: 248–51.
- 26 Ireland H, Kunz G, Kyriakoulis K, Stubbs PJ, Lane DA. Thrombomodulin gene mutations associated with myocardial infarction. *Circulation* 1997; 96: 15–18.
- 27 Auro K, Komulainen K, Alanne M, Silander K, Peltonen L, Perola M, Salomaa V. Thrombomodulin gene polymorphisms and haplotypes and the risk of cardiovascular events: a prospective follow-up study. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol* 2006; 26: 942–7.
- 28 Juhan-Vague I, Morange PE, Aubert H, Henry M, Aillaud MF, Alessi MC, Samnegard A, Hawe E, Yudkin J, Margaglione M, Di Minno G, Hamsten A, Humphries SE. Plasma thrombin-activatable fibrinolysis inhibitor antigen concentration and genotype in relation to myocardial infarction in the north and south of Europe. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol* 2002; 22: 867–73.
- 29 Morange PE, Juhan-Vague I, Scarabin PY, Alessi MC, Luc G, Arveiler D, Ferrieres J, Amouyel P, Evans A, Ducimetiere P. Association between TAFI antigen and Ala147Thr polymorphism of the TAFI gene and the angina pectoris incidence. The PRIME Study (Prospective Epidemiological Study of MI). *Thromb Haemost* 2003; 89: 554–60.
- 30 Pritchard JK, Stephens M, Donnelly P. Inference of population structure using multilocus genotype data. *Genetics* 2000; 155: 945–59.
- 31 Devlin B, Roeder K, Wasserman L. Genomic control, a new approach to genetic-based association studies. *Theor Popul Biol* 2001; 60: 155–66.