

[16] Mapping Phosphorylation Sites in Proteins by Mass Spectrometry

By WENYING SHOU, RATI VERMA, ROLAND S. ANNAN,
MICHAEL J. HUDDLESTON, SUSAN L. CHEN, STEVE A. CARR,
and RAYMOND J. DESHAIES

Introduction

Many intracellular pathways are regulated by protein phosphorylation. To understand how protein phosphorylation controls a pathway, two experiments are required (Fig. 1). The common starting point is to mutate the gene that encodes the relevant protein kinase (a *trans* mutant) (Fig. 1B). There are four limitations to this experiment. First, the large number of protein kinases expressed in eukaryotic cells can make it difficult to identify the responsible enzyme. The budding yeast genome, for example, codes for 120 different protein kinases.¹ Second, homologous protein kinases can act redundantly to regulate a process. For example, the cyclin-dependent protein kinases Pho85 and Srb10 both phosphorylate Gcn4 and target it for ubiquitin-dependent proteolysis.² Third, most protein kinases have many substrates; therefore, a protein kinase mutant may display many phenotypes that obscure the pathway of interest. Fourth, from the characterization of protein kinase mutants alone, it can be difficult to decipher the exact mechanism of regulation and untangle primary and secondary effects.

The second experiment required to establish that phosphorylation of a given protein plays a causal role in a process under study is the evaluation of the effect of nonphosphorylatable mutations in the candidate substrate (*cis* mutations) (Fig. 1C). If one can establish that a *cis* mutant in substrate Y (Fig. 1C) and a *trans* mutant in protein kinase X (Fig. 1B) both prevent the execution of process Z, then it is very likely that phosphorylation of Y by X activates Z.

A confounding problem for "closing the circle" by the analysis of *cis* mutants is that it is often very difficult to map phosphorylation sites in substrate proteins. Many phosphoproteins are expressed in low abundance and can be recovered in only picomole quantities. In addition, most phosphoproteins are phosphorylated on more than one site and phosphorylation of any given site is often substoichiometric.

The serious constraints of low phosphopeptide yield and stoichiometry make it essential to have analytical methods that can preferentially detect and analyze

¹ H. Zhu, J. F. Klemic, S. Chang, P. Bertone, A. Casamayor, K. G. Klemic, D. Smith, M. Gerstein, M. A. Reed, and M. Snyder, *Nature Genet.* **26**, 283 (2000).

² Y. Chi, M. J. Huddleston, X. Zhang, R. A. Young, R. S. Annan, S. A. Carr, and R. J. Deshaies, *Genes Dev.* **15**, 1078 (2001).




FIG. 1. The significance of protein phosphorylation can be tested in two complementary experiments. (A) Hypothesis: phosphorylation of substrate Y by protein kinase X leads to process Z, where kinase X also phosphorylates additional substrates such as a and b. Either a loss-of-function mutation in kinase X (B) or a nonphosphorylatable mutation in substrate Y (C) should block process Z (blockage is indicated by double lines).

phosphopeptides. By far the most common approach is to isolate a phosphorylated substrate protein from ^{32}P -labeled cells and separate tryptic digests of the labeled protein by thin-layer chromatography to reveal phosphopeptides.³⁻⁶ One can then use a combination of secondary digestion with other proteases, deductive logic, and, in some cases, direct protein sequence to assign sites of phosphorylation.⁷ In practice, this is not a trivial exercise. It is especially unappealing to contemplate the isolation of a low abundance substrate from large cultures of ^{32}P -labeled cells.

³ K. L. Gould and K. L. Nurse, *Nature* **342**, 39 (1989).

⁴ W. J. Boyle, P. van der Geer, and T. Hunter, *Methods Enzymol.* **201**, 110 (1991).

⁵ T. Moll, G. Tebb, U. Surana, H. Robitsch, and K. Nasmyth, *Cell* **66**, 743 (1991).

⁶ J. M. Sidorova, G. E. Mikesell, and L. L. Breeden, *Mol. Biol. Cell* **6**, 1641 (1995).

⁷ W. J. Boyle, T. Smeal, L. H. Defize, P. Angel, J. R. Woodgett, M. Karin, and T. Hunter, *Cell* **64**, 573 (1991).

Mass spectrometry (MS), however, is ideally suited to the direct identification of protein phosphorylation sites. Phosphopeptides present in mixtures can be sequenced at the femtomole level without the need for extensive purification. A variety of mass spectrometry-based approaches have been employed to map phosphorylation sites in proteins.^{8–13} A great advantage of these methods is that they do not require prior labeling of the target protein with ³²P. Thus, it is possible to isolate rare proteins from large-scale cultures for phosphopeptide mapping studies. Regardless of the method used to map phosphorylation sites, it is imperative that the native phosphorylation state of the target protein be preserved during isolation. This chapter describes general strategies for isolating phosphoproteins from budding yeast cells by drawing reference to two specific examples: the S-Cdk inhibitor Sic1 and the mitotic exit inhibitor Net1. We then provide an overview of the mass spectrometric methods used to map specific phosphorylation sites in these proteins.

General Strategies for Protein Isolation

Strain Design

Complete phosphorylation site mapping by mass spectrometry typically requires approximately 5–200 pmol of purified protein (depending on the complexity and stoichiometry of phosphorylation) preserved in its native phosphorylation state. To obtain reasonable amounts of material [Your favorite phosphoprotein (Yfp)] that is maximally phosphorylated, the following molecular genetic manipulations can be performed with the strain from which Yfp is to be isolated.

1. Yfp can be expressed from an inducible promoter (e.g., *GALI,10*). A short 1- to 3-hr pulse of galactose may be enough to yield sufficient amounts of Yfp. Constitutive overproduction of Yfp, however, could overwhelm its cognate protein kinase¹⁴ or could circumvent other cellular regulatory systems, resulting in non-physiological phosphorylation of the substrate. If a known kinase is being tested, then it could also be overproduced.

⁸ P. Cohen, B. W. Gibson, and C. F. Holmes, *Methods Enzymol.* **201**, 153 (1991).

⁹ J. D. Watts, M. Affolter, D. L. Krebs, R. L. Wange, L. E. Samelson, and R. Aebersold, *J. Biol. Chem.* **269**, 29520 (1994).

¹⁰ X. Zhang, C. J. Herring, P. R. Romano, J. Szczepanowska, H. Brzeska, A. G. Hinnebusch, and J. Qin, *Anal. Chem.* **70**, 2050 (1998).

¹¹ G. Neubauer and M. Mann, *Anal. Chem.* **71**, 235 (1999).

¹² M. C. Posewitz and P. Tempst, *Anal. Chem.* **71**, 2883 (1999).

¹³ R. S. Annan, M. J. Huddleston, R. Verma, R. J. Deshaies, and S. A. Carr, *Anal. Chem.* **73**, 393 (2001).

¹⁴ G. Alexandru, F. Uhlmann, K. Mechtler, M.-A. Poupard, and K. Nasmyth, *Cell* **105**, 459 (2001).

2. Determine physiological states under which Yfp is maximally phosphorylated. It may be beneficial to use mutants that stabilize the phosphorylation state of the protein. For example, if the counteracting phosphatase is known, Yfp can be isolated from a cell deficient in phosphatase activity.

3. Isolate Yfp from strains that can be conditionally inactivated for the kinase and compare the phosphorylation pattern with that of Yfp isolated from wild-type cells.

Substrate and Experimental Design

The purification of Yfp is facilitated by the introduction of one or more affinity tags at the N or C terminus of the protein. Several commonly used epitopes that have proven to be effective include the hexahistidine (His₆), HA, Myc, FLAG, polyoma, and "ZZ" (IgG-binding domain of protein A) tags. These epitopes can be combined in various permutations (e.g., His₆-HA) to enable consecutive affinity purification steps.^{15,16} Regardless of the tagging strategy, it is our bias that it is critical to inactivate phosphatases to prevent dephosphorylation of the target protein during purification. In both cases described, the extract was denatured prior to affinity purification of the target protein.

Growing and Harvesting Cells

Growth. Cells are grown to exponential phase (OD₆₀₀ = 0.5) before further manipulations, such as a temperature shift to 37° to impose a *ts* block or induction of substrate or protein kinase expression with galactose.

Harvesting. After cells have reached the desired stage, they are chilled and harvested as quickly as possible to preserve the phosphorylation status of Yfp. We routinely fill 1-liter centrifuge bottles halfway with ice, place them on ice, and pour culture directly into the bottles with vigorous shaking. The cultures are centrifuged at 4° for 5–10 min at 4000g to pellet cells. Pelleted cells are resuspended rapidly with either ice-cold water or 25 mM Tris, pH 7.5, containing a phosphatase and protease inhibitor cocktail described later, transferred to 50-ml conical screw-cap tubes, and sedimented in a clinical centrifuge at 5000 rpm for 5 min at 4°. It is important to work as quickly as possible during the cell harvest to minimize dephosphorylation.

Phosphatase and Protease Inhibitor Cocktails

Phosphatase and protease inhibitor cocktails are typically used as a prophylactic measure during lysis and purification to help preserve Yfp in its native

¹⁵ R. Verma, R. S. Annan, M. J. Huddleston, S. A. Carr, G. Reynard, and R. J. Deshaies, *Science* **278**, 455 (1997).

¹⁶ G. Rigaut, A. Shevchenko, B. Rutz, M. Wilm, M. Mann, and B. Seraphin, *Nature Biotechnol.* **17**, 1030 (1999).

state. Protease inhibitor cocktails consist of combinations of 5 mM EDTA (stock, 0.5 M, pH 8), 2 mM EGTA (stock, 0.2 M, pH 8), 0.2 mM 4-(2-aminoethyl)benzene sulfonyl fluoride hydrochloride (AEBSF; frozen stock, 100 mM in water), 25 μ g/ml aprotinin (frozen stock, 10 mg/ml), 1 mM benzamidine (frozen stock, 1 M), 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride (PMSF, stock, 100 mM in 100% 2-propanol), 5–10 μ g/ml pepstatin, leupeptin, chymostatin [frozen stock, 5–10 mg/ml in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO)]. Phosphatase inhibitor cocktails consist of 10 mM NaF (stock, 0.5 M), 60 mM β -glycerolphosphate (stock, 1 M, pH 7.5, store at 4°), 10 mM sodium pyrophosphate (stock, 100 mM), 2 mM sodium orthovanadate (stock, 200 mM; for method of preparation, see Ref. 17), and 3 μ M microcysteine-LR (frozen stock, 300 mM in DMSO).

Three methods can be used to monitor how well the phosphorylation state of a protein is preserved throughout purification. Functional assays are the most reliable, e.g., phosphorylated but not unphosphorylated Sic1 can serve as a substrate for SCF^{Cdc4} (see later). However, functional assays are also the most demanding because they require that the proteins remain competent after purification. If a phosphorylated protein migrates differently from its unphosphorylated counterpart in an SDS–polyacrylamide gel, then gel mobility can be used to track the degree of phosphorylation (see later). If these two methods failed, then the last resort would be to label yeast cells with [³²P]phosphate and to use the radioactivity of the protein (normalized against the level of the protein) as an indicator.¹⁵

Isolation of Sic1 and Net1 for Mapping Sites of Phosphorylation *in Vivo*

Sic1

Sic1 is an S phase-specific Cdk Clb5/Cdc28 (S-Cdk) inhibitor that has to be degraded for cells to enter S phase.^{15,18} Following its ubiquitination by the SCF^{Cdc4}/Cdc34 pathway, Sic1 is recognized by the 26S proteasome and degraded.¹⁹ Although it was known that G1-Cdk is required for both ubiquitination and degradation of Sic1 and that Sic1 is phosphorylated by G1-Cdk,^{18,20,21} it was not known whether phosphorylation of Sic1 itself (as opposed to phosphorylation of some other protein) triggered its ubiquitination and degradation. To address this key issue, we sought to construct a “nonphosphorylatable” mutant of Sic1. Sites at which G1-Cdk phosphorylated Sic1 *in vitro* were mapped by nano-electrospray

¹⁷ D. J. Brown and J. A. Gordon, *J. Biol. Chem.* **259**, 9580 (1984).

¹⁸ E. Schwob, T. Bohm, M. D. Mendenhall, and K. Nasmyth, *Cell* **79**, 233 (1994).

¹⁹ R. Verma, S. Chen, R. Feldman, D. Schieltz, J. Yates, J. Dohmen, and R. J. Deshaies, *Mol. Biol. Cell* **11**, 3425 (2000).

²⁰ B. L. Schneider, Q. H. Yang, and A. B. Futcher, *Science* **272**, 560 (1996).

²¹ R. Verma, R. M. Feldman, and R. J. Deshaies, *Mol. Biol. Cell* **8**, 1427 (1997).

tandem mass spectrometry (nano-ESMS/MS),¹³ and a mutant (Sic1- Δ 3P) lacking a subset of the identified sites was constructed.¹⁵ Sic1- Δ 3P was not ubiquitinated by SCF^{Cdc4}/Cdc34 *in vitro* and was stable *in vivo*, suggesting that G1-Cdk enabled the G₁/S transition by phosphorylating Sic1, thereby targeting it for ubiquitination and degradation via the SCF^{Cdc4}/Cdc34 pathway.

To confirm our hypothesis, we sought to demonstrate that the same residues on Sic1 that are phosphorylated by G1-Cdk *in vitro* are also phosphorylated *in vivo*. At the time that we set out to map the sites of *in vivo* phosphorylation on Sic1 using nano-ESMS/MS, there was little precedent for using this technique to map phosphorylation sites on proteins isolated from their native environment. Thus, to maximize our likelihood of achieving success, we took great care to ensure that sufficient amounts of Sic1 were isolated to enable a thorough analysis, that the isolated Sic1 was of high purity, and that the native phosphorylation state of Sic1 was preserved as much as possible. To achieve these goals, we implemented the following experimental design.

1. Sic1 was transiently overexpressed from a chromosomally integrated *GAL* promoter-driven cassette to yield enough material for analysis, but not so much as to overwhelm the cell.

2. The expressed Sic1 was tagged at the C terminus with a bipartite hemagglutinin-hexahistidine (HA-His₆ epitope). This tandem epitope provided a key advantage because hexahistidine binds Ni-NTA even in the presence of strong denaturants. Thus, we were able to prepare the cell lysate and conduct the first purification step in the presence of 6 M guanidinium hydrochloride, which is expected to inactivate all phosphatases and proteases in the extract. However, single-step purification on Ni-NTA rarely yields material of sufficient purity unless the protein is expressed at very high levels, and therefore the HA domain of the tandem epitope was critical because it enabled consecutive affinity purification steps. Following these two steps, Sic1 was sufficiently pure to be submitted directly to mass spectrometric analysis without further fractionation by SDS-PAGE (Fig. 2A).

3. Sic1^{HAHis6} was expressed in a *cdc34-2* strain held at the nonpermissive temperature. Cdc34 is the E2 enzyme that mediates the ubiquitination and degradation of phosphorylated Sic1. Mutant *cdc34^{ts}* cells accumulate high levels of G1-Cdk activity,^{20,22} but are unable to degrade Sic1¹⁸ and thus accumulate the phosphorylated protein.

Detailed Procedure. RJD 1044 (*GAL-SIC1^{HAHis6} cdc34-2*) cells are grown in 6 liters of YP plus raffinose at 24° to an optical density (OD₆₀₀) of 0.5. Sic1^{HAHis6} synthesis is induced by the addition of galactose to 2%, and after 3 hr, the culture is shifted to 37° for 3 hr. After harvesting (as described earlier), frozen cells are

²² M. Tyers, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **93**, 7772 (1996).




FIG. 2. Purification of phospho-Sic1 from yeast cells. (A) Analysis of purity of the Sic1 preparation. Aliquots of eluates from Ni-NTA and 12CA5/protein A resins were resolved by SDS-PAGE and visualized by Coomassie blue staining. (B) Evaluation of the ability of purified phospho-Sic1 to serve as a substrate of the ubiquitin pathway. An aliquot of purified phospho-Sic1 was incubated with ATP, E1, and E2 (Cdc34) in the absence or presence of SCF^{Cdc4} ubiquitin ligase activity (supplied by yeast extract, see Ref. 21). Reaction products were visualized by immunoblotting with anti-Sic1.

ground to powder in liquid nitrogen.²³ The cell powder (40 g) is thawed in five volumes of denaturing lysis buffer (DLB: 100 mM sodium phosphate, 10 mM Tris, pH 8.0, and 6 M guanidine hydrochloride), and the resulting slurry is stirred for 30 min at 24° and then centrifuged at 26,000g for 15 min at 4° in a Sorvall SS34 rotor. The supernatant is mixed with 2 ml of Ni-NTA resin (Qiagen, Valencia, CA) for 40 min at 24°, after which the beads are washed twice with 20 ml DLB, twice with 100 mM sodium phosphate, pH 5.9, 10 mM imidazole, and 2 M urea, and twice with 25 mM Tris, pH 8.0, 500 mM NaCl, and 0.2% Triton X-100. Bound proteins are eluted with 6 ml of buffer containing 250 mM imidazole, 50 mM Tris, pH 8.0, and 250 mM NaCl. The eluate is supplemented with NaCl (500 mM final), Triton X-100 (0.2%), and the protease and phosphatase inhibitor cocktail described earlier and is incubated with 0.5 ml 12CA5 resin (anti-HA antibody covalently cross-linked to protein A beads) for 45 min at 4°. The beads are collected by centrifugation and washed twice with binding buffer and three times with 10 mM Tris, pH 6.8. Sic1^{HAHis6} (Fig. 2A) is eluted with 1.5 ml 0.1% trifluoroacetic acid and processed for electrospray mass spectrometry as described later. To confirm that the functionally relevant phosphorylation state of Sic1 is preserved throughout isolation, we demonstrated that the purified material is competent to serve as a substrate for ubiquitination by the SCF^{Cdc4}/Cdc34 pathway (Fig. 2B).

Net1

Net1 is a subunit of the nucleolar RENT complex. The disassembly of the RENT complex in late anaphase of the cell cycle culminates in the release of

²³ R. Verma, Y. Chi, and R. J. Deshaies, *Methods Enzymol.* **283**, 366 (1997).




FIG. 3. Purification of phospho-Net1 from yeast cells. (A–C) Net1-Myc9 purifications from wild-type (+), *cdc5 cdc14* (5,14), or *cdc14* (14) strains were analyzed by SDS-PAGE followed by Western blot using 9E10 antibodies (against the Myc epitope). (A) The degree of phosphorylation of Net1

the protein phosphatase Cdc 14 from the nucleolus, which then triggers the exit from mitosis.²⁴⁻²⁶ The disassembly mechanism is unknown, but we hypothesize that it involves phosphorylation of Net1 because Net1 accumulates in a highly phosphorylated form in a *cdc14^{ts}* mutant that is unable to exit mitosis.²⁴ Moreover, the release of Cdc14 from Net1 requires the action of three protein kinases (Cdc5, Cdc15, and Dbf2), suggesting that protein phosphorylation may play a direct role in the release mechanism.

To address whether phosphorylation of Net1 regulates disassembly of the RENT complex, we sought to determine the phosphorylation state of Net1 in a *cdc14^{ts}* mutant. We specifically selected the *cdc14^{ts}* mutant because the Cdc14^{ts} protein is released from Net1 in late mitosis with normal kinetics in *cdc14^{ts}* cells,²⁷ but because the phosphatase is inactive, the cells remain arrested in late mitosis with dispersed Cdc14. As a control, we sought to determine sites of Net1 phosphorylation in *cdc14^{ts} cdc5^{ts}* cells because preliminary evidence suggested that Cdc5 is required for the disassembly of the RENT complex. Thus, a comparison of the sites at which Net1 is phosphorylated in these two mutant strains might highlight amino acids whose phosphorylation correlates with release of Cdc14 from Net1.

Detailed Procedure. To isolate Net1 in a manner that preserves its native phosphorylation state, we pursued two alternative approaches. We first tried nondenaturing lysis by grinding frozen cells in liquid nitrogen and thawing the cell powder in lysis buffer comprised of 20 mM HEPES, pH 7.2, 0.5 M NaCl, 2 mM dithiothreitol (DTT), 0.5% (v/v) Triton X-100, protease inhibitors, and phosphatase inhibitors. Unlike the SDS boiling method,²⁴ in which cells are boiled in SDS sample buffer to achieve fast inactivation of all proteins prior to lysis (Fig. 3A, lanes 1 and 2), the native lysis method fails to preserve the phosphorylation state of Net1 isolated from *cdc14* cells (Fig. 3A, compare lane 4 with lane 2).

Because Net1 is dephosphorylated rapidly in native cell extract, we sought to purify it from denatured cell extract. However, the strategy described earlier

²⁴ W. Shou, J. H. Seol, A. Shevchenko, C. Baskerville, D. Moazed, S. Z. W. Chen, J. Jang, A. Shevchenko, H. Charbonneau, and R. J. Deshaies, *Cell* **97**, 233 (1999).

²⁵ A. F. Straight, W. Shou, G. J. Dowd, C. W. Turck, R. J. Deshaies, A. D. Johnson, and D. Moazed, *Cell* **97**, 245 (1999).

²⁶ R. Visintin, E. S. Hwang, and A. Amon, *Nature* **398**, 818 (1999).

²⁷ S. L. Jaspersen and D. O. Morgan, *Curr. Biol.* **10**, 615 (2000).

(as judged by its reduced mobility on SDS-PAGE) was preserved less well in native lysis than in the SDS boil lysis method. (B and C) Two independent samples of phospho-Net1 prepared from yeast cells using denaturing lysis method (see text for details). (C) Wild-type extracts (+) were prepared by the SDS boiling method. Net1 phosphorylation was the most extensive in *cdc14* and the least extensive in wild-type cells (compare lanes 3-5 in C). (D) Eluate of phospho-Net1 from (C) was concentrated, fractionated on SDS-PAGE, and evaluated by Coomassie blue staining.

for Sic1 is deemed unsuitable because we consider it unlikely that the large Net1 protein would efficiently refold on removal of denaturant. Instead, we decided to isolate Net1 from SDS-denatured cells. A beaker containing 180 ml of H₂O is brought to boiling. Cell pellets (cells are harvested as rapidly as possible; see prior section) from a 4.5-liter culture that is shifted to 37° for 3 hr (final OD₆₀₀ = 1) are resuspended in ~100 ml ice-cold H₂O and added to the boiling water bath in 20-ml aliquots with each addition being initiated when the water bath reaches boiling. This ensures that the temperature of the bath never drops below 90°. After all cells are processed, the bath is boiled for 3 more min and allowed to cool. The boiled cells are then harvested by centrifugation for 10 min at 5000 rpm in a clinical centrifuge. Cell pellet aliquots are frozen in liquid N₂ and stored at -80°.

One pellet (~5 ml, from ~1100 OD₆₀₀) is thawed on ice, resuspended in 1.5 volume of lysis buffer (100 mM Tris, pH 7.5, 200 mM NaCl, 10 mM DTT, 2% SDS, plus protease and phosphatase inhibitors), and boiled immediately for 3 min. Aliquots (320 μl) of cell suspension are distributed in 2-ml flat-bottom microtubes (USA Scientific, Ocala, FL), acid-washed 0.5-mm glass beads (200 μl per tube of Sigma, St. Louis, MO) are added, and tubes are vortexed at 4° in a multibead vortexer (six pulses of 3 min each, with 3-min intermissions). When glass bead lysis is carried out in a single large centrifuge tube, we find the lysis to be very inefficient. After vortexing, all tubes are boiled for 2 min to ensure that SDS has completely dissolved all extractable proteins.

The following steps (until after the addition of IP buffer) are carried out at room temperature to prevent precipitation of SDS. Supernatants are pooled into 1.7-ml microcentrifuge tubes, centrifuged at 14,000 rpm for 10 min, transferred to a 50-ml tube, and sonicated with a microtip at room temperature (Branson Sonifier 450, VWR, San Dimas, CA, six cycles of five pulses per cycle at #5 output control and 50% duty cycle). Sonication appears to reduce high molecular weight contaminants, possibly by shearing DNA into small fragments. Sonicated extracts are supplemented with 3 volumes of IP buffer (50 mM Tris, pH 7.5, 1% Triton X-100, 0.5 M NaCl, and protease inhibitors) ("extracts" in Figs. 3B and 3C). Triton forms micelles that absorb SDS not bound to proteins, thereby eliminating free SDS and creating an environment conducive for antibody-antigen interaction. Pansorbin cells (100 μl; Calbiochem, La Jolla, CA) are incubated with extracts for 20 min to preabsorb proteins that bind nonspecifically to protein A, and samples are then centrifuged at 160,000g (40,000 rpm in a Beckman Ti60 rotor) for 20 min at 12°. The supernatant (~30 ml) ("pre-IP" in Figs. 3B and 3C) is transferred to two 15-ml tubes, with each tube containing 0.32 ml of 9E10 resin (antimyc antibody cross-linked to protein A beads). The antibody beads are incubated with extracts for 1 hr at 4°, sedimented, resuspended in ice-cold wash buffer (20 mM HEPES, pH 7.2, 0.5% Triton X-100, 0.5 M NaCl, 1 mM DTT),

and distributed to seven 0.6-ml tubes. An aliquot of post-IP extracts ("post-IP" in Fig. 3C) is set aside. The beads are washed seven times with 0.5 ml wash buffer/tube, transferred to fresh tubes (to avoid contaminants adsorbed to the side of the tube), and washed three more times ("beads" in Fig. 3B). The beads are then washed three times with 2 mM Tris, pH 8.8, 1 mM DTT, and eluted in 2 mM Tris, pH 8.8, 0.5 mM DTT, 0.1% SDS at 100° for 3 min. The supernatant is collected, and elution is repeated. The eluates are pooled ("eluate" in Fig. 3C), lyophilized, resuspended in 30 μ l of SDS sample buffer, fractionated on a 7.5% SDS-polyacrylamide gel, stained by Coomassie Brilliant Blue (0.1% Coomassie R-250, 20% methanol, 0.5% acetic acid in water), and destained in 30% MeOH in water (Fig. 3D). Samples that are set aside throughout the preparation are fractionated by SDS-PAGE and evaluated by immunoblotting with the antimyc antibody. As shown in Fig. 3C, ~70% of Net1-myc is recovered in the immunoprecipitation step (compare lanes 4,5 with 6,7). In the first preparation (Fig. 3B), the phosphorylation state of Net1 is apparently preserved throughout isolation. In the second preparation, Net1 from *cdc5 cdc14* is upshifted with respect to that from wild-type cells, suggesting that the basal phosphorylation of Net1 is also preserved in *cdc5 cdc14* (compare Fig. 3C, lanes 3 and 4). However, ~50% of the Net1 isolated from *cdc14* cells collapses into a lower molecular weight species after the elution step (Fig. 3C, lane 11), presumably as a result of dephosphorylation. Note that the relative phosphoshifts are difficult to see in the Coomassie-stained gel (Fig. 3D) due to overloading. The source of variability in our preparations is unknown, but smaller scale preparations that require less time may better preserve phosphorylation states. This procedure generates ~3 μ g (~20 pmol) of Net1-Myc9 (Fig. 3D). Mass spectrometric analysis of Net1 samples excised from the SDS-polyacrylamide gel reveals up to 20 sites of phosphorylation, which will be reported elsewhere.

Multidimensional Electrospray Mass Spectrometry-Based Phosphopeptide Mapping Method

Before any effort is made to determine the site of phosphorylation on a protein, the modification is usually first localized to a peptide from an enzymatic digest. A major challenge in phosphopeptide mapping is to isolate or identify the phosphorylated peptides from the usually overwhelming amount of nonphosphorylated peptides present in the digest. Although the phosphopeptide need not be purified prior to sequencing by MS/MS, it is necessary to identify which peptide in the mixture to sequence.

Earlier we reported on a method utilizing nanoelectrospray MS and a technique called precursor ion scanning, which takes advantage of the fact that in the mass spectrometer, under experimentally controllable conditions, phosphopeptides

undergo facile loss of phosphate from serine, threonine, and tyrosine residues to produce a PO_3^- ion with m/z 79.²⁸ During a precursor scan, the mass spectrometer is set to detect only those peptides that fragment to yield the diagnostic m/z 79 ion, thus allowing the selective detection of phosphopeptides. This high degree of selectivity allows the precursor scan to identify phosphopeptides that are present as very minor components of the sample. Once the phosphopeptides have been identified, the MS can be switched to the positive ion mode and the peptides sequenced by tandem MS.

Although this approach can successfully identify and sequence phosphopeptides from unfractionated mixtures, there are limitations. First, as the phosphorylation state of the protein becomes more complex, the least abundant phosphopeptides may go undetected. Second, large phosphoproteins can yield an overwhelming number of unmodified peptides that make it difficult to sequence the phosphopeptides even after they have been identified by the precursor ion scan. This difficulty stems from the unavoidable problems of a wide dynamic range of peptide abundance, ion suppression effects, charge state overlap, and the possible need to sequence many phosphopeptides in a single sample. Studies of highly phosphorylated proteins have illustrated the advantages of employing a separation step to fractionate the sample or enrich the phosphopeptide pool prior to site-specific analysis.

In 1993, we reported on a liquid chromatography (LC) MS-based method that used phosphopeptide-specific marker ions to selectively detect phosphopeptides in complex mixtures.²⁹ As components of a proteolytic digest elute from a high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) column into the MS, they are subjected to collision-induced dissociation conditions in the ion source. Peptides that contain phosphorylated residues fragment to produce highly diagnostic $[\text{PO}_2]^-$ and $[\text{PO}_3]^-$ marker ions at m/z 63 and m/z 79, respectively. Monitoring for these two marker ions permits selective collection of LC fractions that contain phosphopeptides (as well as coeluting nonphosphorylated peptides). The marker ion elution profile is analogous to the output from an HPLC radioactivity detector or the autoradiogram from a two-dimensional phosphopeptide map, but the MS-based method does not rely on ^{32/33}P labeling.

Combining these two orthogonal MS techniques—both of which selectively detect phosphopeptide-specific marker ions—with MS-based peptide sequencing yielded a multidimensional analytical method, which is highly selective for phosphopeptides.¹³ The overall strategy is outlined in Fig. 4. In the first step, the proteolytic digest of a protein is subjected to reversed phase (RP)-HPLC and collected into fractions. On-line monitoring of the phosphopeptide-specific marker

²⁸ S. A. Carr, M. J. Huddleston, and R. S. Annan, *Anal. Biochem.* **239**, 180 (1996).

²⁹ M. J. Huddleston, R. S. Annan, M. F. Bean, and S. A. Carr, *J. Am. Soc. Mass Spectrom.* **4**, 710 (1993).




FIG. 4. Schematic diagram of the multidimensional electrospray-mass spectrometry method for mapping phosphorylation sites in proteins.

ion m/z 79 by ESMS in the negative ion mode identifies those fractions that contain phosphopeptides [those marked with an asterisk (*)]. This HPLC step greatly simplifies the complexity of phosphopeptide mixtures. In the second dimension of the analysis, the molecular weights of the phosphopeptides in each collected fraction are determined by precursor scans for m/z 79 in the negative ion mode. Finally the phosphopeptides are sequenced by positive-ion nanoelectrospray tandem MS. This strategy, which we have developed and refined, is particularly well suited to phosphoproteins that are phosphorylated with widely varying stoichiometry at many sites.

Analysis of Sic1 and Net1 Phosphorylation by Mass Spectrometry

Sic1

Sic1 is of sufficient purity that it can be analyzed directly from 0.1% TFA elution from anti-HA beads without the need for further purification by SDS-PAGE. Approximately 70% of the purified Sic1 solution is lyophilized to remove the acid. The sample is then reconstituted in 20 μ l of 8 M urea and vortexed. The urea concentration is reduced to 2 M by the addition of 80 μ l of 50 mM NH_4HCO_3 , pH 8.5. Sic1 proves resistant to typical in-solution tryptic digestion conditions, finally succumbing to a 16-hr incubation at an enzyme : substrate ratio of 1 : 7. Sic1 contains no cysteines residues, thus reduction and alkylation are not performed. Half of the digest is loaded onto a 1-mm C_{18} trap cartridge installed in place of a sample loop on the HPLC injector. After washing with 200 μ l of 0.1% TFA-5% acetonitrile, the peptides are backflushed off the trap cartridge onto a 0.5 mm \times 15 cm C_{18} Reliasil (Michrom BioResources, Inc., Auburn, CA) HPLC column with an acetonitrile : water : TFA gradient run at 20 μ l/min. The column eluate is split 4 : 1 after the UV detector, with 4-5 μ l/min going to the mass spectrometer and the remainder going to a fraction collector taking half-minute fractions.

Electrospray mass spectra are acquired on a modified PerkinElmer (Norwalk, CT) Sciex API-III atmospheric pressure ionization triple quadrupole tandem mass spectrometer using the standard articulated, pneumatically assisted nebulization electrospray probe. The mass spectrometer, operating in the negative ion mode, is optimized to produce and detect m/z 63 and 79 product ions (PO_2^- and PO_3^-) produced by CID in Quad 0, the high-pressure region located between the sampling cone and the quadrupole mass filter. The yield of the m/z 63 and 79 product ions is maximized by the application of -350 V (m/z 63) and -300 V (m/z 79) to the orifice of the API III as has been described.^{29,30} The MS is operated in a single ion-monitoring mode for enhanced sensitivity.

The summed LC-ESMS ion trace for m/z 63 and 79 from the Sic1 sample shows more than 12 phosphopeptide-containing peaks, which are collected in

³⁰ S. A. Carr, R. S. Annan, and M. J. Huddleston, *Methods Enzymol.*, in press (2002).

30 fractions. To simplify the subsequent analysis, we pool adjacent fractions from clusters of phosphopeptide peaks and identify the phosphopeptides in each pool using a precursor scan for m/z 79. Precursor scans are acquired in the negative ion mode on the same PE Sciex API III as described previously, but using a nanoES source designed and built at the EMBL.³¹ To enhance detection of the phosphopeptides, an aliquot of each fraction is made basic prior to precursor ion analysis as follows: one-fourth to one-half of a desired fraction is lyophilized and reconstituted in 50/50 methanol : water containing 5% concentrated ammonium hydroxide (30% by weight), and 1–2 μ l of the sample is introduced into the nanoES needle.²⁸ After the molecular weights of the phosphopeptides are determined from the m/z 79 precursor ion scan, the mass spectrometer is switched into the positive ion mode. When sufficient signal is present, the phosphopeptides are sequenced from the same sample loading by acquiring a full-scan CID product ion spectrum (MS/MS) on a chosen multiply charged precursor. In some cases, an acidic aliquot of the sample in 50 : 50 (v/v) methanol water with 5% (v/v) formic acid is used for MS/MS sequencing of the phosphopeptides.

Precursor ion scan analysis and tandem MS of the pooled fractions reveal that Sic1 phosphorylation is localized on three tryptic peptide sequences: amino acids 2–8, 14–50, and 54–84. The 2–8 sequence appears to be quantitatively monophosphorylated on Thr-5, whereas the latter two peptides are differentially phosphorylated (0-2 and 0-3 phosphates, respectively), with the lowest phosphorylation state being the most abundant. We sequenced the monophosphorylated form of the 14–50 peptide and the 54–84 peptide by MS/MS and determined that the major sites of phosphorylation are Thr-33 and Ser-76, respectively. Tandem mass spectrometry of the 54–84 peptide failed to distinguish between Thr-75 and Ser-76, and assignment of the phosphorylation site in this case was based on the fact that Ser-76 is in a Cdk consensus sequence.

Because the purification protocol just described yields purified Sic1 in solution, we are able to use nanoESMS to determine the overall phosphorylation state of the intact protein.¹³ To facilitate the nanoES, we pass the remaining protein (approximately 30% of the original material) over a 0.5-mm C₁₈ HPLC column and collect the Sic1 peak. The solvent is removed by lyophilization, and the protein is reconstituted in 4 μ l of 50 : 50 methanol : water with 5% formic acid and is loaded into the nanoES needle. The distribution of phosphorylation on Sic1 corresponds to between 1 and 6 mol of phosphate per mole of Sic1, with quadruply and quintuply phosphorylated Sic1 being the predominant forms,¹³ and suggests that Sic1 is phosphorylated by Cln/Cdc28 in a distributive manner *in vivo*.

The physiological significance of the three major *in vivo* phosphorylation sites determined by mass spectrometry is confirmed by analysis of a mutant in which Thr-5, Thr-33, and Ser-76 are substituted with Ala.¹⁵ When this triple mutant is

³¹ M. Wilm and M. Mann, *Int. J. Mass Spectrom. Ion Proc.* **136**, 167 (1994).

analyzed by nanoES, the mass spectrum shows that the most abundant phosphorylation state decreases from 4–5 mol of phosphate (observed on wild-type Sic1) to 2 mol of phosphate.¹³ These data suggest that these three sites are indeed major phosphoacceptor sites *in vivo*.

Whenever it is possible to generate sufficient amounts of purified protein (10–50 pmol), we advocate making a molecular weight measurement on the intact protein. The intact molecular weight provides the total number of moles of phosphate added to the protein and the relative distribution of protein molecules with different numbers of phosphate on them. As was demonstrated here for Sic 1, this information can be useful for interpreting the phosphopeptide mapping and mutagenesis data.

Net1

Proteins derived by SDS–PAGE require special preparation protocols to ready the sample for MS analysis. Elution of proteins from acrylamide gels is inefficient at best and is a hopeless proposition for a large protein like Net1. The most straightforward approach to preparing gel-fractionated proteins for MS analysis is direct digestion of the protein in the gel.³² The Coomassie-stained Net1 band (Fig. 3D, lane 2) is excised from the gel with a razor blade, and the gel piece is washed in a 1.5-ml Eppendorf tube with several changes of 50 : 50 acetonitrile : 50 mM NH₄HCO₃ until the Coomassie blue is completely extracted. The wash solvent is discarded and replaced with 50 μ l of fresh 50 mM NH₄HCO₃, pH 8.5, and 5 μ l of 45 mM DTT. The sample is heated for 1 hr at 38°. After cooling to room temperature, 5 μ l of 100 mM iodoacetamide is added, and the sample is allowed to react for 1 hr at room temperature in the dark. After 1 hr, the gel piece is washed for 1 hr with 50 : 50 acetonitrile : 50 mM NH₄HCO₃ and is then covered with acetonitrile and allowed to shrink until it turns white. The acetonitrile is removed, and the gel piece is dried in a Speed-Vac. The gel piece is rehydrated with 15 μ l of 50 mM NH₄HCO₃ containing 500 ng of modified trypsin. After the gel piece is completely reswollen, an additional 50 μ l of 50 mM NH₄HCO₃ is added to cover the slice, and the sample is incubated overnight at 38°. After overnight digestion, the NH₄HCO₃ solution is removed and transferred to a fresh 200- μ l Eppendorf tube. The gel piece is washed once with an additional 50 μ l of fresh 50 mM NH₄HCO₃ and once with 50 μ l of 5% formic acid. Both washes are combined with the original NH₄HCO₃ solution, and 25–50% of the sample is injected onto a C₁₈ trap cartridge, which is installed in place of the sample loop on an HPLC injector as described earlier. Following a wash of the trap with 200 μ l 0.1% TFA, the Net1 digest is analyzed using the multidimensional MS-based phosphopeptide mapping strategy described previously.

³² J. Rosenfeld, J. Capdevielle, J. Guillemot, and P. Ferrara, *Anal. Biochem.* **203**, 173 (1992).




FIG. 5. Multidimensional electrospray-MS phosphopeptide mapping of Net1. A Coomassie blue-stained band was excised from the gel (Fig. 3D, lane 2) and digested *in situ* with trypsin. Five hundred femtomoles of phosphopeptide internal standard was added to the digest prior to injection onto the HPLC. (A) First-dimension phosphopeptide-specific LC-ESMS trace (m/z 79). The phosphopeptide internal standard peak is marked as "IS." Twelve fractions were taken for further analysis, and 100 fmol of internal standard was added to each fraction. (B) NanoES (–) ion full-scan MS spectrum of fraction 6 from the LC-ESMS run in (A). (C) NanoES (–) ion precursor scan for m/z 79 of fraction 6. Five phosphopeptides with listed molecular weights were detected.

The much smaller amount of protein typically available from a gel-derived sample necessitates some changes in the analysis. The sensitivity of the method as described for Sic1 is limited by the HPLC column diameter. Because ESMS (at flow rates above ca. 50 nl/min) acts like a concentration-sensitive detector, smaller internal diameter HPLC columns provide higher sensitivity analyses. However, the requirement to split the HPLC flow postcolumn to allow collection of fractions imposes a practical limitation on the HPLC column diameter that can be used. By switching the MS to a micro ion spray source flowing at 0.2–0.5 $\mu\text{l}/\text{min}$, we are able to use a 180 μm i.d. HPLC column flowing at rates of 3–4 $\mu\text{l}/\text{min}$. By setting up an approximately 10 : 1 postcolumn split, we are able to collect 3.5- $\mu\text{l}/\text{min}$ fractions. Preliminary data obtained using this setup indicate that sensitivity in the first-dimension analysis is 20- to 50-fold higher than the 0.5-mm i.d. column used for the Sic1 analysis (Zappacosta, unpublished results, 2001). The smaller HPLC column diameter also required us to use a smaller diameter C_{18} trap cartridge (300 μm i.d.).

The m/z 79 trace for Net 1 from *cdc14^{ts} cdc5^{ts}* cells is shown in Fig. 5A. The Net1 digest is spiked with 500 fmol of a phosphopeptide standard (marked IS) prior to analysis. The peak heights of the Net 1 phosphopeptides relative to the internal standard allow an estimate of the overall level of phosphorylation present in the sample. Ten fractions (collected by hand) labeled 3–12 in Fig. 5A were analyzed using precursor ion scans and tandem MS as described earlier. No changes were required in this part of the analysis to accommodate the lower sample amounts. The negative ion MS and the precursor scan for m/z 79 for fraction 6 are shown in Figs. 5B and 5C, respectively. The precursor ion scan shows five phosphopeptides present in this fraction, whereas none of these peptides are detectable in the negative ion scan. The sequences, which have been assigned to these molecular weights, were verified by tandem MS; in each case the specific phosphorylated residue was established. In all, 20 unique phosphorylation sites were determined from 22 different phosphopeptides.

[17] Identification of Yeast Proteins by Mass Spectrometry

By ALEXANDRE V. PODTEJNIKOV and MATTHIAS MANN

Yeast provides a unique model system that enables elegant and rapid genetic analyses in addition to extremely powerful biochemical studies. Mass spectrometry has further improved the toolbox of biochemical strategies by providing rapid and high throughput identification of proteins in yeast. In fact, many of the new proteomic approaches are being established first in yeast because *Saccharomyces*